WAVE BREAKING IN THE OSTROVSKY-HUNTER EQUATION*

YUE LIU[†], DMITRY PELINOVSKY[‡], AND ANTON SAKOVICH[‡]

Abstract. The Ostrovsky–Hunter equation governs evolution of shallow water waves on a rotating fluid in the limit of small high-frequency dispersion. Sufficient conditions for the wave breaking in the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation are found both on an infinite line and in a periodic domain. Using the method of characteristics, we also specify the blow-up rate at which the waves break. Numerical illustrations of the finite-time wave breaking are given in a periodic domain.

Key words. well-posedness, wave breaking, conserved quantities, method of characteristics

AMS subject classifications. 35Q35, 35L67, 37K40

DOI. 10.1137/09075799X

1. Introduction. The nonlinear evolution equation

(1.1)
$$(u_t + uu_x - \beta u_{xxx})_x = \gamma u,$$

with $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u(t, x) : \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$, was derived by Ostrovsky [21] to model smallamplitude long waves in a rotating fluid of a finite depth. This equation generalizes the Korteweg–de Vries equation (that corresponds to $\gamma = 0$) by the additional term induced by the Coriolis force. Mathematical properties of the Ostrovsky equation (1.1) were studied recently in many details, including the local and global well-posednesses in energy space [7, 14, 27, 30], stability of solitary waves [12, 15, 17], and convergence of solutions in the limit of the Korteweg–de Vries equation [13, 17].

We shall consider the limit of no high-frequency dispersion $\beta = 0$, when the evolution equation (1.1) can be written in the form

(1.2)
$$\begin{cases} (u_t + uu_x)_x = \gamma u, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

where x is considered either on a circle or on an infinite line. In this form, the main equation (1.2) is known under different names, such as the reduced Ostrovsky equation [22, 26], the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation [1], the short-wave equation [8], and the Vakhnenko equation [20, 28]. We shall use the name of the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation for convenience. We also consider $\gamma > 0$ since the other case $\gamma < 0$ is covered by the reflection $x \to -x$ and $u \to -u$ of the solutions for $\gamma > 0$.

According to the method of characteristics, the inviscid Burgers equation (that corresponds to $\gamma = 0$)

$$(1.3) u_t + uu_x = 0$$

^{*}Received by the editors May 4, 2009; accepted for publication (in revised form) June 7, 2010; published electronically August 19, 2010.

http://www.siam.org/journals/sima/42-5/75799.html

[†]Department of Mathematics, University of Texas at Arlington, Arlington, TX 76019 (yliu@uta. edu). The work of this author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-0906099 and NHARP grant 003599-0001-2009.

[‡]Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Hamilton, ON, L8S 4K1, Canada (dmpeli@ math.mcmaster.ca, sakovias@math.mcmaster.ca). The work of the second author was supported by NSERC grant RGPIN238931-06. The work of the third author was supported by the McMaster graduate scholarship.

develops wave breaking in a finite time for any initial data $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ on an infinite line or in a periodic domain if $u_0(x)$ is continuously differentiable and there is a point x_0 such that $u'_0(x_0) < 0$. More precisely, we say that the finite-time wave breaking occurs if there exists a finite time $T \in (0, \infty)$ such that

(1.4)
$$\liminf_{t\uparrow T} \inf_{x} u_x(t,x) = -\infty, \quad \text{while} \quad \limsup_{t\uparrow T} \sup_{x} |u(t,x)| < \infty$$

In view of the result for $\gamma = 0$, we address the question if the low-frequency dispersion term with $\gamma > 0$ in the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2) can stabilize global dynamics of the inviscid Burgers equation (1.3).

Hunter [8] found a sufficient condition for wave breaking of the Cauchy problem (1.2) in a periodic domain and provided numerical evidences of the finite-time wave breaking for the sinusoidal initial data $u_0(x)$. To be precise, the main result of [8] can be formulated as follows.

THEOREM 1 (Hunter [8]). Let $u_0(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{S})$, where \mathbb{S} is a circle of unit length, and define

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}} u_0'(x) = -m \quad and \quad \sup_{x\in\mathbb{S}} |u_0(x)| = M.$$

If $m^3 > 4M(4+m)$, a smooth solution u(t,x) of the Cauchy problem (1.2) with $\gamma = 1$ breaks down at a finite time $T \in (0, 2m^{-1})$.

We shall study wave breaking of the Cauchy problem (1.2) in more detail. First, the Cauchy problem is shown to be locally well-posed if $u_0 \in H^s$, $s \ge 2$, both on an infinite line \mathbb{R} and on a unit circle \mathbb{S} . The blow-up alternative is derived to claim that the solution u(t, x) blows up in a finite time in the H^s -norm with $s \ge 2$ if and only if $\inf_x u_x(t, x)$ becomes unbounded from below. Using the integral estimates and the method of characteristics, we find various sufficient conditions for the wave breaking, which are sharper than Theorem 1. Moreover, we also obtain the blow-up rate at which the waves break in a finite time.

From a technical point of view, our analytical methods are similar to the ones used in analysis of the Camassa–Holm equation [4, 5] and the Degasperis–Processi equation [18, 19]. It is worth mentioning that both equations arise as models for shallow water waves and can be regarded as higher-order approximations to the Korteweg–de Vries equation [10, 6]. In the context of the Camassa–Holm equation, continuation of a weak solution past the time of the wave breaking was recently considered by Bressan and Constantin [2, 3]. This continuation is not unique but can be made unique either as a global conservative solution [2] or as a global dissipative solution [3]. Continuations of the weak solutions of the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2) past the wave breaking are not considered in this paper.

We note that, unlike the Ostrovsky equation (1.1), the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2) is integrable using the inverse scattering transform method [28]. This method allows us to solve the initial-value problem (1.2) formally by working with the spectral theory for a third-order differential operator, which is similar to the Lax operator for the Hirota–Satsuma equation [24]. As a particular property of an integrable model, the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2) has a hierarchy of conserved quantities, which follows from results of [29] and [24] after exchanging densities and fluxes. This hierarchy includes the first two conserved quantities

(1.5)
$$Q = \int u^2 dx, \quad E = \int \left[\gamma (\partial_x^{-1} u)^2 + \frac{1}{3} u^3 \right] dx,$$

where the antiderivative operator is defined by the integration of u(t, x) in x subject to the zero-mass constraint $\int u dx = 0$. Higher-order conserved quantities of the Ostrovsky-Hunter equation (1.2) involve higher-order antiderivatives, which are defined under additional constraints on the solution u(t, x). Therefore, conserved quantities of the Ostrovsky-Hunter equation are not related to the H^s -norms and hence are not so useful in the study of global well-posedness in the energy space, in sharp contrast with very similar short-pulse and Hirota–Satsuma equations studied in [23] and [9], respectively. Our analysis does not rely, therefore, on integrability properties of the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2). We also emphasize that integrability of the nonlinear evolution equations does not prevent a finite-time blowup; see [4, 5, 18, 19] for analysis of wave breaking in other integrable equations.

The other problem related to the subject of this paper is the existence and stability of spatially periodic and localized traveling-wave solutions $u(t, x) = \varphi(x - ct)$ with speed $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Function $\varphi(x)$ is defined by solutions of the differential equation

(1.6)
$$(c - \varphi(x))\varphi''(x) = (\varphi'(x))^2 - \gamma\varphi(x),$$

where x is considered either on a circle or on an infinite line. Bounded 2π -periodic solutions $\varphi(x)$ were shown in [1] to exist for the wave speeds

(1.7)
$$1 \le \frac{c}{\gamma} \le \frac{\pi^2}{9}$$

where $c = \gamma$ corresponds to the small-amplitude sinusoidal wave and $c = \frac{\pi^2}{9}\gamma$ corresponds to the large-amplitude crest wave (called the parabolic wave) which is given by the piecewise continuous quadratic polynomial in x,

(1.8)
$$\varphi(x) = \frac{\gamma}{16} (3x^2 - \pi^2), \quad x \in [-\pi, \pi],$$

with a discontinuous slope at the crests located at $x = \pm \pi$. Analytical and numerical approximations of the periodic wave solutions can be found in [1] and [8]. Our results on wave breaking in a periodic domain S do not exclude the possibility of global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem for small initial data $u_0(x)$ and the stability of periodic wave solutions satisfying (1.6). Our numerical results suggest that the small initial data $u_0(x)$ do generate global solutions of the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2), similar to the dynamics we studied in the context of the (very similar) short-pulse equation [16].

No localized solutions $\varphi(x)$ were found on a real line \mathbb{R} , except for multivalued loop solitons [20] and other exotic solutions [22, 26] that do not belong to $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \geq 2$. In the appendix, we prove that no classical solutions $\varphi(x) \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with decay

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}\varphi(x) = \lim_{|x|\to\infty}\varphi'(x) = 0$$

exist. Again, global well-posedness of the Cauchy problem on an infinite line for small initial data $u_0(x)$ is not excluded by our results.

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives a sufficient condition for the wave breaking in a periodic domain. The blow-up rate of the wave breaking is studied in section 3 based on the method of characteristics. Similar results on an infinite line are reported in section 4. Section 5 gives numerical evidences of wave breaking in a periodic domain. The appendix contains results on the nonexistence of localized traveling-wave solutions.

2. Wave breaking in a periodic domain. Let $\gamma > 0$, and let \mathbb{S} denote a circle of a unit length. Local well-posedness of the Cauchy problem (1.2) with initial data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{S}), s \geq 2$, can be obtained using the work of Schäfer and Wayne [25], who studied a very similar short-pulse equation,

(2.1)
$$\begin{cases} u_{xt} = u + (u^3)_{xx}, & t > 0, \\ u(0,x) = u_0(x), \end{cases}$$

on an infinite line. More precisely, we have the following local well-posedness result.

LEMMA 1. Assume that $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \geq 2$, and that $\int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0(x) dx = 0$. Then there exist a maximal time $T = T(u_0) > 0$ and a unique solution u(t, x) to the Cauchy problem (1.2) such that

$$u(t,x) \in C([0,T); H^{s}(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^{1}([0,T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$$

with the following two conserved quantities:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} u(t,x) dx = 0, \quad t \in [0,T),$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} u^2(t,x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0^2(x) dx, \quad t \in [0,T).$$

Moreover, the solution depends continuously on the initial data; i.e., the mapping $u_0 \mapsto u : H^s(\mathbb{S}) \to C([0,T); H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0,T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$ is continuous.

Proof. Existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence in $H^s(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 2$, were proved in [25] in the context of the short-pulse equation (2.1). The same method based on modified Picard iterations works in $H^s(\mathbb{S})$, so that the first part of Lemma 1 is an extension of the main theorem of [25] to a periodic domain. To prove the zeromass constraint, we note that $u_t \in C([0,T); H^1(\mathbb{S}))$ and $uu_x \in C([0,T); H^1(\mathbb{S}))$ for the solution $u \in C([0,T); H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0,T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$ with $s \geq 2$. By Sobolev's embedding of $H^1(\mathbb{S})$ to $C(\mathbb{S})$, we obtain

$$\gamma \int_{\mathbb{S}} u(t,x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_{tx} \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{S}} (uu_x)_x \, dx = 0, \quad t \in (0,T).$$

To prove conservation of the L^2 -norm, we consider the balance equation

$$(u^2)_t = \left(\gamma(\partial_x^{-1}u)^2 - \frac{2}{3}u^3\right)_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{S}, \quad t \in (0,T).$$

where $\partial_x^{-1}u = \gamma^{-1}(u_t + uu_x) \in C([0,T); H^1(\mathbb{S}))$, so that $\partial_x^{-1}u(t,x)$ is continuous on \mathbb{S} for all $t \in [0,T)$. Integrating the balance equation, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} u^2(t,x) \, dx = \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0^2(x) \, dx, \quad t \in [0,T).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1. \Box

Remark 1. The assumption $\int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0(x) dx = 0$ in Lemma 1 on the initial data u_0 is necessary. In fact, the zero-mass constraint on u_0 can be derived from the following estimate:

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{S}} u(t,x) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0(x) \, dx \right| \le \|u(t,\cdot) - u_0(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{S})} \quad \forall t \in (0,T).$$

Note that $\int_{\mathbb{S}} u(t,x) dx = 0$ for all $t \in (0,T)$ and $u \in C([0,T); H^2(\mathbb{S}))$. Hence the above estimate implies in the limit $t \downarrow 0$ that $\int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0(x) dx = 0$. Note that no zero-mass constraint on u_0 is necessary on an infinite line [25].

Remark 2. The maximal time T > 0 in Lemma 1 is independent of $s \ge 2$ in the following sense. If $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S}) \cap H^{s'}(\mathbb{S})$ for $s, s' \ge 2$ and $s \ne s'$, then

$$u(t,x) \in C([0,T); H^{s}(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^{1}([0,T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$$

and

$$u(t,x) \in C([0,T'); H^{s'}(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0,T'); H^{s'-1}(\mathbb{S}))$$

with the same T' = T. See Yin [31] for an adaptation of the Kato method [11] to the proof of this statement.

By using the local well-posedness result in Lemma 1 and energy estimates, one can get the following precise blow-up scenario of the solutions to the Cauchy problem (1.2).

LEMMA 2. Let $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \geq 2$, and let u(t, x) be a solution of the Cauchy problem (1.2) in Lemma 1. The solution blows up in a finite time $T \in (0, \infty)$ in the sense of $\lim_{t\uparrow T} ||u(t, \cdot)||_{H^s} = \infty$ if and only if

$$\lim_{t\uparrow T}\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}}u_x(t,x)=-\infty.$$

Proof. Assume a finite maximal existence time $T \in (0, \infty)$, and suppose there is M > 0 such that

(2.2)
$$\inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(t, x) \ge -M \quad \forall t \in [0, T).$$

Applying density arguments, we approximate initial value $u_0(x) \in H^2(\mathbb{S})$ by functions $u_0^n(x) \in H^3(\mathbb{S}), n \geq 1$, so that $\lim_{n\to\infty} u_0^n = u_0$. Furthermore, we write $u^n(t,x)$ for the solution of the Cauchy problem (1.2) with initial data $u_0^n(x) \in H^3(\mathbb{S})$. Using the regularity result proved in Lemma 1, it follows from Sobolev's embedding that if $u^n(t,x) \in C([0,T); H^3(\mathbb{S}))$, then $u^n(t,x)$ is a twice continuously differentiable periodic function of x on \mathbb{S} for any $t \in [0,T)$. It is then deduced from the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2) that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}} (u_x^n)^2 dx = -\int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x^n (u_x^n)^2 dx \le M \int_{\mathbb{S}} (u_x^n)^2 dx$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}} (u_{xx}^n)^2 dx = -5 \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x^n (u_{xx}^n)^2 dx \le 5M \int_{\mathbb{S}} (u_{xx}^n)^2 dx,$$

where we have used the uniform bound (2.2). The Gronwall inequality then yields

$$\|u_x^n\|_{L^2} \le \|(u_0^n)'\|_{L^2} e^{\frac{M}{2}t}$$

and

$$||u_{xx}^n||_{L^2} \le ||(u_0^n)''||_{L^2} e^{\frac{5}{2}Mt}, \quad 0 \le t < T.$$

Since $||u_0^n||_{H^2}$ converges to $||u_0||_{H^2}$ as $n \to \infty$, we infer from the continuous dependence of the local solution u on initial data u_0 that the norm in $H^2(\mathbb{S})$ of the solution u in Lemma 1 does not blow up in the finite time $T < \infty$, and therefore either T is not a maximal existence time or the bound (2.2) does not hold as $t \uparrow T$. Since T is independent of $s \ge 2$ by Remark 2, the norm in $H^s(\mathbb{S})$ for any $s \ge 2$ of the solution in Lemma 1 blows up in a finite time $T \in (0, \infty)$ if and only if the bound (2.2) does not hold as $t \uparrow T$. \Box

The main result of this section is the following sufficient condition for the wave breaking in the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2).

THEOREM 2. Assume that $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \ge 2$, and that $\int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0(x) dx = 0$. If u_0 satisfies either

(2.3)
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} \left(u_0'(x) \right)^3 \, dx < -\left(\frac{3\gamma}{2} \| u_0 \|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

or

(2.4)
$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} (u_0'(x))^3 \, dx < 0 \quad and \quad \|u_0\|_{L^2} > \frac{3\gamma}{4},$$

then the solution u(t,x) of the Cauchy problem (1.2) in Lemma 1 blows up in finite time $T \in (0,\infty)$ in the sense of Lemma 2.

Proof. Let T > 0 be the maximal time of existence of the solution u(t, x) in Lemma 1. Then, we obtain the a priori differential estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x^3 \, dx &= 3 \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x^2 \left(-u_x^2 - uu_{xx} + \gamma u \right) \, dx \\ &= -2 \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x^4 \, dx + 3\gamma \int_{\mathbb{S}} uu_x^2 \, dx \\ &\leq -2 \|u_x\|_{L^4}^4 + 3\gamma \|u\|_{L^2} \|u_x\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &= -2 \left(\|u_x\|_{L^4}^2 - \frac{3\gamma}{4} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right)^2 + \frac{9\gamma^2}{8} \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the L^2 -norm conservation. An application of Hölder's inequality yields

(2.5)
$$\|u_x\|_{L^3}^3 \le \|u_x\|_{L^4}^3.$$

Let $V(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x^3(t, x) \, dx$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, let $Q_0 = ||u_0||_{L^2}$, and assume that

$$V(0) < -\left(\frac{3\gamma Q_0}{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}} < 0.$$

Then, we have

$$\|u_x\|_{L^4}^2 - \frac{3\gamma}{4} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \ge \|u_x\|_{L^3}^2 - \frac{3\gamma}{4} \|u_0\|_{L^2} \ge |V|^{\frac{2}{3}} - \frac{3\gamma Q_0}{4},$$

so that the a priori differential inequality is closed at

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \le -2\left(|V|^{\frac{2}{3}} - \frac{3\gamma Q_0}{4}\right)^2 + \frac{9\gamma^2 Q_0^2}{8},$$

where the right-hand side is negative at t = 0. By the continuation argument, V(t) is decreasing on [0, T) so that $V(t) \leq V(0) < 0$. We need to prove that T is finite and $\lim_{t\uparrow T} V(t) = -\infty$. Let $y = |V|^{1/3}$, and obtain that

$$\frac{dy}{dt} \ge \frac{2}{3} \left(y^2 - \frac{3\gamma Q_0}{2} \right),$$

where the right-hand side is positive at t = 0. By the comparison principle for differential equations, $y(t) \ge y^+(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, where $y^+(t)$ solves the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}^+ = \frac{2}{3} \left((y^+)^2 - \frac{3\gamma Q_0}{2} \right), \\ y^+(0) = y(0). \end{cases}$$

Since $y(0) > (\frac{3\gamma Q_0}{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}$, there is a finite time $T^+ \in (0,\infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\uparrow T^+} y^+(t) = +\infty$, and therefore there is a time $T \in (0,T^+)$ such that $\lim_{t\uparrow T^+} y(t) = +\infty$.

To prove the second sufficient condition (2.4), we note that, since $\int_{\mathbb{S}} u(t, x) dx = 0$, for each $t \in [0, T)$ there is a $\xi_t \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $u(t, \xi_t) = 0$. Then, for any $x \in [\xi_t, \xi_t + \frac{1}{2}]$, we have

$$u^{2}(t,x) = \left(\int_{\xi_{t}}^{x} u_{x}(t,x) \, dx\right)^{2} \le (x-\xi_{t}) \int_{\xi_{t}}^{x} u_{x}^{2}(t,x) \, dx \le \frac{1}{2} \|u_{x}\|_{L^{2}}^{2}.$$

Combining it with a similar estimate on $[\xi_t + \frac{1}{2}, \xi_t + 1]$ thanks to periodicity of u(t, x) in x for all $t \in [0, T)$, we have

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u^2(t, x) \le \frac{1}{2} \|u_x\|_{L^2}^2 \le \frac{1}{2} \|u_x\|_{L^4}^2.$$

Therefore, continuing the a priori differential inequality above, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x^3 dx \leq -2 \|u_x\|_{L^4}^4 + 3\gamma \|u\|_{L^2} \|u_x\|_{L^4}^2 \\
\leq -2 \|u_x\|_{L^4}^4 + 3\gamma \|u_0\|_{L^2}^{-1} \|u\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|u_x\|_{L^4}^2 \\
\leq -\alpha \|u_x\|_{L^4}^4,$$

where $\alpha = 2 - \frac{3\gamma}{2\|u_0\|_{L^2}} > 0$ by the assumption. By the same Hölder inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{dV}{dt} \le -\alpha |V|^{\frac{4}{3}},$$

where $V(t) = \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_x^3(t, x) dx$ for all $t \in [0, T)$ and V(0) < 0 is assumed. Then, by the comparison principle, $V(t) \leq V^-(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, where $V^-(t)$ solves the differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \dot{V}^- = -\alpha (V^-)^{\frac{4}{3}}, \\ V^-(0) = V(0). \end{cases}$$

Since V(0) < 0, there is a finite time $T^- \in (0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\uparrow T} V^-(t) = -\infty$, and therefore there is a finite time $T \in (0, T^-)$ such that $\lim_{t\uparrow T} V(t) = -\infty$. In both cases, we have

$$\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}}u_x^3(t,x)\leq\int_{\mathbb{S}}u_x^3\,dx\equiv V(t),$$

which implies immediately that

$$\lim_{t\uparrow T}\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}}u_x(t,x)=-\infty.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \Box

Remark 3. Let $\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}} u'_0(x) = -m$. The first sufficient condition (2.3) in Theorem 2 can be rewritten as

$$m^2 > \frac{3\gamma}{2} \|u_0\|_{L^2},$$

which reminds us of the sufficient condition in Theorem 1 for $\gamma = 1$ given by

$$m(m^2 - 4||u_0||_{L^{\infty}}) > 16||u_0||_{L^{\infty}}.$$

If $||u_0||_{L^2}$ and $||u_0||_{L^{\infty}}$ are large, the slope of $u'_0(x)$ has to be steep enough to lead to the wave breaking. In contrast, the second sufficient condition (2.4) in Theorem 2 shows that any smooth initial profile with $\int_{\mathbb{S}} (u'_0(x))^3 dx < 0$ and sufficiently large $||u_0||_{L^2}$ breaks in a finite time.

3. Blow-up rate of wave breaking. We shall investigate here the blow-up rate of the wave breaking for solutions of the Cauchy problem (1.2), which we rewrite here as

(3.1)
$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x = \gamma \partial_x^{-1} u, & x \in \mathbb{S}, \ t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{S}, \end{cases}$$

where ∂_x^{-1} is the mean-zero antiderivative in the sense of

(3.2)
$$\partial_x^{-1} u = \int_0^x u(t, x') dx' - \int_{\mathbb{S}} \int_0^x u(t, x') dx' dx.$$

We use the method of characteristics, which is also used in a similar context by Hunter [8]. Let T > 0 be the maximal time of existence of the solution u(t, x) of the Cauchy problem (3.1) in Lemma 1 with the initial data $u_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$ for $s \ge 2$. For all $t \in [0, T)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{S}$, define

$$x = X(t,\xi), \quad u(t,x) = U(t,\xi), \quad \partial_x^{-1}u(t,x) = G(t,\xi),$$

so that

(3.3)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{X}(t) = U, \\ X(0) = \xi, \end{cases} \begin{cases} \dot{U}(t) = \gamma G, \\ U(0) = u_0(\xi), \end{cases}$$

where dots denote derivatives with respect to time t on a particular characteristic $x = X(t,\xi)$ for a fixed $\xi \in S$. Applying classical results in the theory of ODEs, we obtain the following two useful results on the solutions of the initial-value problem (3.3).

LEMMA 3. Let $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \geq 2$, and let T > 0 be the maximal existence time of the solution u(t,x) in Lemma 1. Then there exists a unique solution $X(t,\xi) \in C^1([0,T) \times \mathbb{S})$ to the initial-value problem (3.3). Moreover, the map $X(t, \cdot) : \mathbb{S} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ is an increasing diffeomorphism with

$$\partial_{\xi} X(t,\xi) = \exp\left(\int_0^t u_x(s,X(s,\xi))ds\right) > 0 \quad \forall t \in [0,T), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{S}.$$

Proof. Consider the integral equation

$$X(t,\xi) = \xi + \int_0^t u(s, X(s,\xi)) ds, \quad t \in [0,T)$$

where $u(t, x) \in C([0, T); H^s(\mathbb{S})) \cap C^1([0, T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{S}))$ for $s \ge 2$, according to Lemma 1. By the ODE theory, there exists a unique solution $X(t, \xi) \in C^1([0, T) \times \mathbb{S})$ of the integral equation above. Using the chain rule, we obtain

$$\partial_{\xi} \dot{X} = u_x(t, X(t,\xi)) \partial_{\xi} X \quad \Rightarrow \quad \partial_{\xi} X(t,\xi) = \exp\left(\int_0^t u_x(t, X(s,\xi)) ds\right),$$

so that $\partial_{\xi} X(t,\xi) > 0$ for all $t \in [0,T)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{S}$.

LEMMA 4. Let $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \ge 2$, and let T > 0 be the maximal existence time of the solution u(t,x) in Lemma 1. Then the solution u(t,x) satisfies

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|u(s,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma t \|u_0\|_{L^2} \quad \forall t \in [0,T).$$

Proof. By Lemma 3, the function $x = X(t,\xi)$ is invertible in $\xi \in S$ for any $t \in [0,T)$. Then, we have

$$\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\sup_{x\in\mathbb{S}}|u(s,x)|=\sup_{s\in[0,t]}\sup_{\xi\in\mathbb{S}}|U(s,\xi)|,\quad t\in[0,T).$$

Since $\partial_x^{-1}u(t,x) \in C([0,T); H^{s+1}(\mathbb{S}))$ is the mean-zero periodic function of x for each $t \in [0,T)$, there exists a $\xi_t \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $\partial_x^{-1}u(t,\xi_t) = 0$. Then, for any $x \in \mathbb{S}$ and $t \in [0,T)$, we have

$$|\partial_x^{-1} u(t,x)| \le \left| \int_{\xi_t}^x u(t,x) \, dx \right| \le \int_{\mathbb{S}} |u(t,x)| \, dx \le \|u_0\|_{L^2},$$

where we use the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality and the L^2 -norm conservation. Using the integral equation

$$U(t,\xi) = u_0(\xi) + \gamma \int_0^t G(s,\xi) ds, \quad t \in [0,T),$$

we obtain

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} |u(s,x)| \leq ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \sup_{\xi \in \mathbb{S}} |G(s,\xi)|$$

= $||u_0||_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} |\partial_x^{-1} u(s,x)|$
 $\leq ||u_0||_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma t ||u_0||_{L^2}, \quad t \in [0,T),$

and the lemma is proved. \Box

Using the method of characteristics, we obtain a sufficient condition for the wave breaking in the Cauchy problem (3.1) that is different from the sufficient conditions of Theorem 2.

THEOREM 3. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \ge 2$. Let T_1 be the smallest positive root of

(3.4)
$$2\sqrt{\gamma}T_1\left(\|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma T_1\|u_0\|_{L^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \log\left(1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

and assume that there is a $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ such that

(3.5)
$$u_0'(x_0) \le -(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{\gamma} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma T_1 \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Then the solution u(t,x) in Lemma 1 blows up in a finite time $T \in (0,T_1)$ in the sense of Lemma 2.

Proof. Define $V(t,\xi) = u_x(t, X(t,\xi))$. By Lemmas 1 and 3, $V(t,\xi)$ is absolutely continuous on $[0,T) \times \mathbb{S}$ and a.e. differentiable on $(0,T) \times \mathbb{S}$, so that

$$\dot{V} = (u_{tx} + uu_{xx}) \bigg|_{x = X(t,\xi)} = (\gamma u - u_x^2) \bigg|_{x = X(t,\xi)}$$
$$= -V^2 + \gamma U \quad \text{a.e.} \quad \xi \in \mathbb{S}, \quad t \in (0,T).$$

By Lemma 4, we obtain the a priori differential estimate

(3.6)
$$\dot{V} \leq -V^2 + \gamma \left(\|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma t \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right)$$
 a.e. $\xi \in \mathbb{S}, t \in (0,T).$

Since $u'_0(x)$ is a continuous, mean-zero, periodic function of x on \mathbb{S} and assumption (3.5) is satisfied for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists \tilde{x}_0 such that

$$V(0, \tilde{x}_0) = -(1+\varepsilon)h(T_1),$$

where

$$h(T_1) = \sqrt{\gamma} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma T_1 \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Thanks to the a priori estimate (3.6), $V(t) := V(t, \tilde{x}_0)$ satisfies

(3.7)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{V}(t) \leq -V^2(t) + h^2(T_1) & \text{a.e.} \quad t \in [0, T_1] \cap (0, T), \\ V(0) = -(1 + \varepsilon)h(T_1). \end{cases}$$

By the comparison principle for ODEs, we have

$$V(t) \le V_+(t) < 0, \quad t \in [0, T_1] \cap [0, T),$$

where $V_{+}(t)$ solves the equation

(3.8)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{V}_{+}(t) = -V_{+}^{2}(t) + h^{2}(T_{1}), & t \in [0, T_{1}), \\ V_{+}(0) = V(0). \end{cases}$$

Equation (3.8) admits an implicit solution:

$$\frac{V_{+}(t) + h(T_{1})}{V_{+}(t) - h(T_{1})} = \frac{V(0) + h(T_{1})}{V(0) - h(T_{1})}e^{2h(T_{1})t}, \quad t \in [0, T_{1}).$$

If T_1 is the smallest positive root of (3.4), then

$$\frac{V_+(t)+h(T_1)}{V_+(t)-h(T_1)} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon} e^{2h(T_1)t} \uparrow 1 \quad \text{as} \quad t \uparrow T_1,$$

so that $\lim_{t\uparrow T_1} V_+(t) = -\infty$. Therefore, there is $T \in (0, T_1)$ such that $\lim_{t\uparrow T} V(t) = -\infty$. \square

Remark 4. Note that if $\varepsilon \to \infty$ and the assumption of Theorem 3 still holds, then $T \to 0$. This means that the steeper the slope of the initial data $u_0(x)$, the quicker the solution u(t,x) blows up.

Remark 5. Since $||u(t, \cdot)||_{L^{\infty}}$ remains bounded on [0, T) thanks to Lemma 4, the blow up of Theorem 3 corresponds to criterion (1.4) of the wave breaking in the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2).

By Theorem 3, we have the following two corollaries.

COROLLARY 1. Assume that $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \geq 2$, is even and nonconstant. Then, for sufficiently large n, the corresponding solution u(t, x) to the Cauchy problem (3.1) with initial data $u_0(nx)$ blows up in finite time.

Proof. Take $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $u'_0(x_0) = \inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u'_0(x)$. Since $u_0 \in C^1(\mathbb{S})$ is even and periodic, it follows that $u'_0(x_0) \leq 0$ and $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u'_0(x) = -u'_0(x_0) \geq 0$. Thus, we deduce that

$$\left(\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}}u_0'(x)\right)^2 = \left(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{S}}u_0'(x)\right)^2 > \int_{\mathbb{S}}(u_0'(x))^2 dx.$$

Let $\tilde{u}_0(x) = u_0(nx)$ for a positive integer n. Thanks to 1-periodicity of $u_0(x)$, we have $\|\tilde{u}'_0\|_{L^2} = n\|u'_0\|_{L^2}$, $\|\tilde{u}_0\|_{L^2} = \|u_0\|_{L^2}$, and $\|\tilde{u}_0\|_{L^{\infty}} = \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}}$. From the above inequality, we see that the assumption of Theorem 3 for any $\varepsilon > 0$ is satisfied by the initial data $\tilde{u}_0(x) = u_0(nx)$, provided n is large enough. \square

COROLLARY 2. Assume that $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{S})$, $s \geq 2$, and that $|\inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u'_0(x)| \geq |\sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u'_0(x)| > 0$. Then, for sufficiently large n, the corresponding solution u(t,x) to the Cauchy problem (3.1) with initial data $u_0(nx)$ blows up in finite time.

Proof. The assumption and the mean value theorem imply that there is a point $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$\left(\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}} u_0'(x)\right)^2 \ge \left(\sup_{x\in\mathbb{S}} u_0'(x)\right)^2 > (u_0'(x_0))^2 \ge \int_{\mathbb{S}} (u_0'(x))^2 dx.$$

Thus, we can obtain the desired result in view of the proof of Corollary 1.

Our final result specifies the rate at which the wave breaks in the Cauchy problem (3.1). We use again the fact that the blow-up time T is independent of $s \ge 2$ for the solution u(t, x) in Lemma 1, so that the initial data $u_0(x)$ can be considered in $H^3(\mathbb{S})$.

THEOREM 4. Let $u_0(x) \in H^3(\mathbb{S})$, and let $T \in (0, \infty)$ be the finite blow-up time of the solution u(t, x) in Lemma 1. Then, we have

(3.9)
$$\lim_{t\uparrow T} \left((T-t) \inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}} u_x(t,x) \right) = -1$$

and

(3.10)
$$\lim_{t\uparrow T} \left((T-t) \sup_{x\in \mathbb{S}} u_x(t,x) \right) = 0.$$

Proof. Let $m(t) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(t, x)$. By the assumption of $T < \infty$ and Lemma 2, we have $\lim_{t \uparrow T} m(t) = -\infty$. By Theorem 2.1 in Constantin and Escher [5], for every $t \in [0, T)$, there exists at least one point $\xi(t) \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $m(t) := u_x(t, \xi(t))$ and $u_{xx}(t, \xi(t)) = 0$. Moreover, m(t) (and $\xi(t)$) is absolutely continuous on [0, T), is a.e. differentiable on (0, T), and satisfies

(3.11)
$$\frac{d}{dt}m(t) = u_{tx}(t,\xi(t)) = -m^2(t) + \gamma u(t,\xi(t)) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad t \in (0,T).$$

Set $K(T) = \gamma (||u_0||_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma T ||u_0||_{L^2})$. By Lemma 4, we obtain

(3.12)
$$-m^{2}(t) - K(T) \leq \frac{d}{dt}m(t) \leq -m^{2}(t) + K(T) \quad \text{a.e.} \quad t \in (0,T).$$

Let us now choose $\varepsilon \in (0,1)$. Since $\lim_{t\uparrow T} m(t) = -\infty$, one can find $t_0 \in [0,T)$ such that

$$m(t_0) < -\sqrt{K(T) + \frac{K(T)}{\varepsilon}}.$$

By the continuation of solutions of (3.12) and the absolute continuity of m(t), it follows that m is decreasing on $[t_0, T)$ so that

$$m(t) \le m(t_0) < -\sqrt{K(T) + \frac{K(T)}{\varepsilon}} < -\sqrt{\frac{K(T)}{\varepsilon}}, \quad t \in [t_0, T),$$

and

$$1 - \varepsilon \le \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{1}{m(t)} \right) \le 1 + \varepsilon.$$

Integrating the above relation on (t, T) with $t \in [t_0, T)$ and noticing that $\lim_{t\uparrow T} m(t) = -\infty$, we deduce that

$$(1-\varepsilon)(T-t) \le -\frac{1}{m(t)} \le (1+\varepsilon)(T-t).$$

Since $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary, in view of the definition of m(t), the above inequality in the limit $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$ implies the desired result (3.9).

Now let $M(t) := \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(t, x)$. By the same Theorem 2.1 in Constantin and Escher [5], for every $t \in [0, T)$, there exists at least one point $\eta(t) \in \mathbb{S}$ such that $M(t) = u_x(t, \eta(t))$ and $u_{xx}(t, \eta(t)) = 0$. Repeating the same arguments, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}M(t) = -M^2(t) + \gamma u(t,\eta(t)) \le \gamma \left(\|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma t \|u_0\|_{L^2} \right) \quad \forall t \in (0,T)$$

so that

(3.13)
$$M(t) \le \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_0'(x) + \gamma \left(T \| u_0 \|_{L^{\infty}} + \frac{\gamma T^2}{2} \| u_0 \|_{L^2} \right) < +\infty.$$

Since u(t, x) is periodic on \mathbb{S} for all $t \in [0, T)$ and belongs to $C([0, T); H^3(\mathbb{S}))$, there exists $\xi_0(t) \in \mathbb{S}$ for every $t \in [0, T)$ such that $u_x(t, \xi_0(t)) = 0$. Therefore, $M(t) \geq u_x(t, \xi_0(t)) = 0$ for all $t \in [0, T)$, so that bound (3.13) yields the desired result (3.10). This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

4. Wave breaking on an infinite line. To extend our results on wave breaking in the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2) from a circle S to an infinite line \mathbb{R} , we are going to use an additional conserved quantity of the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation. Consider the Cauchy problem in the form

(4.1)
$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x = \gamma \partial_x^{-1} u, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t > 0, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases}$$

where $\gamma > 0$ and $\partial_x^{-1} u = \int_{-\infty}^x u(t, x') dx'$. To control $\partial_x^{-1} u$, we define $||u||_{\dot{H}^{-1}} := ||\partial_x^{-1} u||_{L^2}$. The local well-posedness result is given by the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. Assume that $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, $s \geq 2$. Then there exist a maximal time $T = T(u_0) > 0$ and a unique solution u(t, x) to the Cauchy problem (4.1) such that

$$u(t,x) \in C([0,T); H^{s}(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^{1}([0,T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$$

with the following three conserved quantities:

(4.2)
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t,x)dx = 0, \quad t \in [0,T)$$

(4.3)
$$Q = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2(t, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0^2(x) dx, \quad t \in [0, T),$$

and

(4.4)
$$E = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\gamma (\partial_x^{-1} u)^2 + \frac{1}{3} u^3 \right] dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\gamma (\partial_x^{-1} u_0)^2 + \frac{1}{3} u_0^3 \right] dx, \quad t \in [0, T).$$

Moreover, the solution depends continuously on the initial data; i.e., the mapping $u_0 \mapsto u: H^s(\mathbb{R}) \to C([0,T); H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0,T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ is continuous.

Proof. If $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, then $\partial_x^{-1}u_0(x) \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$, so that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)dx = 0$. By the main theorem in [25] in the context of the short-pulse equation (2.1), existence, uniqueness, and continuous dependence of the solution $u(t,x) \in C([0,T); H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap C^1([0,T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ is proved, so that

$$\gamma \partial_x^{-1} u(t,x) = u_t(t,x) + u(t,x) u_x(t,x) \in C((0,T); H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})).$$

Therefore, $u(t,x) \in C([0,T); H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}))$ in view of continuity of $||u||_{\dot{H}^{-1}}$ as $t \downarrow 0$. Because $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$ implies $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} f(x) = 0$, the zero-mass constraint (4.2) holds. Let us define

$$\gamma \partial_x^{-2} u(t,x) = \left(\partial_x^{-1} u(t,x)\right)_t + \frac{1}{2}u^2(t,x).$$

By uniqueness of the strong solution u(t, x) satisfying the constraint (4.2) on [0, T), we obtain

$$\lim_{|x|\to\infty}\partial_x^{-2}u(t,x)=0\quad\forall t\in[0,T)$$

Using balance equations for the densities of Q and E, we write

$$(u^2)_t = \left(\gamma(\partial_x^{-1}u)^2 - \frac{2}{3}u^3\right)_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in (0,T),$$
$$\left[\gamma(\partial_x^{-1}u)^2 + \frac{1}{3}u^3\right]_t = \left[\gamma^2(\partial_x^{-2}u)^2 - \frac{1}{4}u^4\right]_x, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \ t \in (0,T).$$

Integrating the balance equation in x on \mathbb{R} for any $t \in (0,T)$, we obtain conservation of Q and E. Their initial values as $t \downarrow 0$ are computed from the initial condition $u_0(x)$ thanks to the fact that $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$.

The blow-up alternative in Lemma 2 holds on an infinite line thanks to Sobolev's embedding $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ into $C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and the density arguments. Since the application (2.5) of the Hölder inequality is not valid on \mathbb{R} , Theorem 2 cannot be extended on an infinite line. However, we can still use the method of characteristics and extend Theorems 3 and 4 from \mathbb{S} to \mathbb{R} .

For all $t \in [0, T)$ and $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$, we define

$$x = X(t,\xi), \quad u(t,x) = U(t,\xi), \quad \partial_x^{-1}u(t,x) = G(t,\xi),$$

so that the same system (3.3) is considered. Lemma 3 holds on \mathbb{R} , while Lemma 4 is replaced with the following lemma.

LEMMA 6. Let $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap H^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, $s \ge 2$, and let T > 0 be the maximal existence time of the solution u(t,x) in Lemma 5. The solution u(t,x) satisfies

$$\sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|u(s,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + Ct + \frac{\gamma Q}{6}t^2, \quad t \in [0,T),$$

where

$$C := \frac{\sqrt{\gamma}}{\sqrt{2}} \left(E + \gamma Q + \frac{1}{3} Q \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Proof. From conserved quantities (4.3) and (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x^{-1} u(t,\cdot)\|_{H^1}^2 &= \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\partial_x^{-1} u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2}^2 = Q + \frac{1}{\gamma} \left(E - \frac{1}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u^3(t,x) dx \right) \\ &\leq Q + \frac{1}{3\gamma} \left(3E + Q \|u(t,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let $S(t) := \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|u(s,\cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}}$. Thanks to Sobolev's embedding of $H^1(\mathbb{R})$ into $L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$S(t) \le \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma t \sup_{s \in [0,t]} \|\partial_x^{-1} u(s, \cdot)\|_{L^{\infty}}$$

$$\le \|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + \gamma t \left(\frac{Q}{2} + \frac{1}{6\gamma} \left(3E + QS(t)\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

from which the bound on S(t) is proved after algebraic manipulations.

Theorem 3 is extended to the infinite line in the following theorem.

THEOREM 5. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let $u_0(x) \in H^s(\mathbb{R}) \cap \dot{H}^{-1}(\mathbb{R})$, $s \ge 2$. Let T_1 be the smallest positive root of the equation

$$2\sqrt{\gamma}T_1\left(\|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + CT_1 + \frac{\gamma Q}{6}T_1^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \log\left(1 + \frac{2}{\varepsilon}\right),$$

and assume that there is a $x_0 \in \mathbb{S}$ such that

$$u_0'(x_0) \le -(1+\epsilon)\sqrt{\gamma} \left(\|u_0\|_{L^{\infty}} + CT_1 + \frac{\gamma Q}{6}T_1^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where C is defined in Lemma 6. Then the solution u(t,x) in Lemma 5 blows up in a finite time $T \in (0,T_1)$.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 3. \Box

Finally, Theorem 4 remains valid on an infinite line since the proof does not depend on the definition of K(T).



FIG. 1. Lower bounds of the domains for the wave breaking conditions of Theorems 1, 2, and 3. The shaded area shows where the wave breaking condition of Theorem 3 is satisfied.

5. Numerical evidence of wave breaking. We consider the Cauchy problem (3.1) for $\gamma = 1$ and the initial data

(5.1)
$$u_0(x) = a\cos(2\pi x) + b\sin(4\pi x),$$

where (a, b) are parameters. Using elementary calculus, we compute

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}} (u_0'(x))^3 dx = -12\pi^3 a^2 b, \quad \int_{\mathbb{S}} u_0^2(x) dx = \frac{1}{2} (a^2 + b^2) dx = \frac{1}$$

and

$$m = -\inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_0'(x) = 2\pi(a+2b),$$

$$M = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{S}} |u_0(x)| = \frac{1}{4} \left(3a + \sqrt{a^2 + 32b^2} \right) \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{-a + \sqrt{a^2 + 32b^2}}{8b}\right)^2}.$$

Figure 1 compares the theoretical estimates of the wave breaking regions on the quarter-plane $(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$. According to Theorem 1, the blow up occurs under the condition $m^3 > 4M(4+m)$. The lower bound of the domain, where $m^3 > 4M(4+m)$, is shown by the upper diagonal line on Figure 1. According to Theorem 2, two criteria (2.3) and (2.4) exist. The lower bound of the domain, where the first criterion (2.3) is met, is shown in Figure 1 by the nondiagonal curve. The domain of the second criterion (2.4) is, however, beyond the scale of Figure 1. Indeed, the latter domain corresponds to the quarter-circle on the (a, b)-plane with the radius $\frac{3}{2\sqrt{2}} \approx 1.06$. Finally, the lower bound of the domain given by the criterion of Theorem 3 is shown in Figure 1 by the lower diagonal line. We can see from the figure that the criterion of Theorem 3 is the sharpest one, with the largest wave breaking region shown by shaded area of Figure 1.

Numerical simulations of the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2) for initial data (5.1) are performed with the pseudospectral method for N = 4096 Fourier harmonics with the time step of dt = 0.001. Figures 2, 3, and 4 show two dynamical evolutions for three cases b = 0, a = 2b, and a = 0, where the top panels show regular dynamics and the bottom panels show wave breaking. In all cases, no wave breaking occurs



FIG. 2. Solution surface u(t, x) (left) and $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{S}} u_x(t, x)$ versus t (right) for two simulations with a = 0.005, b = 0 (top) and a = 0.05, b = 0 (bottom). The dashed curve on the bottom right panel shows the least squares fit -1/(B + Ct) with $C \approx -1.009$ and $B \approx 3.213$.

for sufficiently small values of (a, b) (far below the lower bound on Figure 1), but the wave breaking does occur if the values of (a, b) are selected to be larger (still below the lower bound on Figure 1). Thus, we conclude that none of the wave breaking criteria is sharp.

The right panels of Figures 2, 3, and 4 show the behavior of $\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}} u_x(t,x)$ versus t. When the wave breaking occurs (bottom panels of each figure), we compute the linear regression B + Ct of $-(\inf_{x\in\mathbb{S}} u_x(t,x))^{-1}$, where (B,C) are constants. According to Theorem 4, $B \approx T$ and $C \approx -1$ near the singularity, so that B can be taken as an approximation for the blow-up time T and |C + 1| can be taken as an estimate for the error of the linear regression. The numerical values on Figures 2, 3, and 4 show that C is close to -1 by the errors in 1%, 4%, and 6%, respectively.

Finally, Figure 5 shows the blow-up time T estimated by the above technique versus parameters a for b = 0 and parameter b for a = 0. We can clearly see that the wave breaking holds for (a, b) in the shaded area of Figure 1 and slightly below this area. The blow-up time T becomes smaller for larger values of (a, b).

Appendix. Nonexistence of localized traveling-wave solutions. Consider the differential equation

(A.1)
$$(c - \varphi(x))\varphi''(x) = (\varphi'(x))^2 - \gamma\varphi(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R},$$

for traveling-wave solutions $u(t, x) = \varphi(x - ct)$ of the Ostrovsky–Hunter equation (1.2), where $c \in \mathbb{R}$ is the wave speed.

THEOREM 6. There are no nontrivial solutions of (A.1) with $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \varphi(x) = \lim_{|x|\to\infty} \varphi'(x) = 0$.



FIG. 3. The same as Figure 2 but for a = 0.001, b = 0.0005 (top) and a = 0.01, b = 0.005 (bottom). The least squares fit is computed with $C \approx -1.042$ and $B \approx 8.442$.



FIG. 4. The same as Figure 2 but for a = 0, b = 0.0005 (top) and a = 0, b = 0.005 (bottom). The least squares fit is computed with $C \approx -1.060$ and $B \approx 16.964$.



FIG. 5. Estimates of the blow-up time T versus a for b = 0 (left) and b for a = 0 (right).

Proof. Arguing by contradiction, we assume the existence of $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$ with $\lim_{|x|\to\infty} \varphi(x) = \lim_{|x|\to\infty} \varphi'(x) = 0$ as a solution of (A.1). Let v(x) be defined by

(A.2)
$$-c\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\varphi^2 = \gamma v,$$

so that $\varphi(x) = v''(x)$. Multiplying (A.2) by $\varphi'(x)$ and taking the integral over the interval $(-\infty, x)$ yields

(A.3)
$$-\frac{c}{2}\varphi^2 + \frac{1}{6}\varphi^3 + \frac{\gamma}{2}(v')^2 - \gamma\varphi v = 0.$$

By (A.1), we have $\int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi(x) dx = 0$. Since $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a smallest zero point $\xi_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ for $\varphi(x)$ in the sense of $\varphi(\xi_1) = 0$ and $\varphi(x) \neq 0$ for $x \in (-\infty, \xi_1)$. On the other hand, it is deduced from (A.3) that $v'(\xi_1) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \to -\infty} v'(x) = 0$. By Rolle's theorem, there exists a point $\xi_2 \in (-\infty, \xi_1)$ such that $\varphi(\xi_2) = v''(\xi_2) = 0$, which contradicts the assumption on the smallest ξ_1 with $\varphi(\xi_1) = 0$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

REFERENCES

- J. BOYD, Ostrovsky and Hunter's generic wave equation for weakly dispersive waves: Matched asymptotic and pseudospectral study of the paraboloidal travelling waves (corner and nearcorner waves), European J. Appl. Math., 16 (2005), pp. 65–81.
- [2] A. BRESSAN AND A. CONSTANTIN, Global conservative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 183 (2007), pp. 215–239.
- [3] A. BRESSAN AND A. CONSTANTIN, Global dissipative solutions of the Camassa-Holm equation, Anal. Appl. (Singap.), 5 (2007), pp. 1–27.
- [4] A. CONSTANTIN AND J. ESCHER, Well-posedness, global existence, and blowup phenomenon for a periodic quasi-linear hyperbolic equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 51 (1998), pp. 475– 504.
- [5] A. CONSTANTIN AND J. ESCHER, Wave breaking for nonlinear nonlocal shallow water equations, Acta Math., 181 (1998), pp. 229–243.
- [6] A. CONSTANTIN AND D. LANNES, The hydrodynamical relevance of the Camassa-Holm and Degasperis-Process equations, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 192 (2009), pp. 165–186.
- [7] G. GUI AND Y. LIU, On the Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation with positive dispersion, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 32 (2007), pp. 1895–1916.
- [8] J. HUNTER, Numerical solutions of some nonlinear dispersive wave equations, in Computational Solution of Nonlinear Systems of Equations, Lectures in Appl. Math. 26, AMS, Providence, RI, 1990, pp. 301–316.
- R. IORIO AND D. PILOD, Well-posedness for Hirota-Satsuma equation, Differential Integral Equations, 21 (2008), pp. 1177–1192.

- [10] R. S. JOHNSON. Camassa-Holm, Korteweg-de Vries and related models for water waves, J. Fluid Mech., 456 (2002), pp. 63–82.
- [11] T. KATO, On the Korteweg-de Vries equation, Manuscripta Math., 28 (1979), pp. 89–99.
- [12] S. LEVANDOSKY AND Y. LIU, Stability of solitary waves of a generalized Ostrovsky equation, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 38 (2006), pp. 985–1011.
- [13] S. LEVANDOSKY AND Y. LIU, Stability and weak rotation limit of solitary waves of the Ostrovsky equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. B, 7 (2007), pp. 793–806.
- [14] F. LINARES AND A. MILANES, Local and global well-posedness for the Ostrovsky equation, J. Differential Equations, 222 (2006), pp. 325–340.
- [15] Y. LIU, On the stability of solitary waves for the Ostrovsky equation, Quart. Appl. Math., 65 (2007), pp. 571–589.
- [16] Y. LIU, D. PELINOVSKY, AND A. SAKOVICH, Wave breaking in the short-pulse equation, Dyn. Partial Differ. Equ., 6 (2009), pp. 291–310.
- [17] Y. LIU AND V. VARLAMOV, Stability of solitary waves and weak rotation limit for the Ostrovsky equation, J. Differential Equations, 203 (2004), pp. 159–183.
- [18] Y. LIU AND Z. Y. YIN, Global existence and blow-up phenomena for the Degasperis-Procesi equation, Comm. Math. Phys., 267 (2006), pp. 801–820.
- [19] Y. LIU AND Z. Y. YIN, On the blow-up phenomena for the Degasperis-Process equation, Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN, (2007), article ID rnm043.
- [20] A. J. MORRISON, E. J. PARKES, AND V. O. VAKHNENKO, The N loop soliton solutions of the Vakhnenko equation, Nonlinearity, 12 (1999), pp. 1427–1437.
- [21] L. A. OSTROVSKY, Nonlinear internal waves in a rotating ocean, Okeanologia, 18 (1978), pp. 181–191.
- [22] E. J. PARKES, Explicit solutions of the reduced Ostrovsky equation, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 31 (2007), pp. 602–610.
- [23] D. PELINOVSKY AND A. SAKOVICH, Global well-posedness of the short-pulse and sine-Gordon equations in energy space, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 35 (2010), pp. 613–629.
- [24] J. SATSUMA AND D. J. KAUP, A Bäcklund transformation for a higher-order Korteweg-de Vries equation, J. Phys. Soc. Japan, 43 (1977), pp. 692–697.
- [25] T. SCHÄFER AND C. E. WAYNE, Propagation of ultra-short optical pulses in cubic nonlinear media, Phys. D, 196 (2004), pp. 90–105.
- [26] Y. A. STEPANYANTS, On stationary solutions of the reduced Ostrovsky equation: Periodic waves, compactons and compound solitons, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 28 (2006), pp. 193– 204.
- [27] K. TSUGAWA, Well-posedness and weak rotation limit for the Ostrovsky equation, J. Differential Equations, 247 (2009), pp. 3163–3180.
- [28] V. O. VAKHNENKO AND E. J. PARKES, The calculation of multi-soliton solutions of the Vakhnenko equation by the inverse scattering method, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 13 (2002), pp. 1819–1826.
- [29] V. O. VAKHNENKO, E. J. PARKES, AND A. J. MORRISON, A Bäcklund transformation and the inverse scattering transform method for the generalised Vakhnenko equation, Chaos Solitons Fractals, 17 (2003), pp. 683–692.
- [30] V. VARLAMOV AND Y. LIU, Cauchy problem for the Ostrovsky equation, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 10 (2004), pp. 731–753.
- [31] Z. Y. YIN, On the Cauchy problem for an integrable equation with peakon solutions, Illinois J. Math., 47 (2003), pp. 649–666.