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## Introduction

- Granular crystal chains are chains of densely packed, elastically interacting particles.
- Recent work focuses on periodic travelling waves in granular chains; said to be more relevant to physical experiments.
- Periodic travelling waves in homogeneous granular chains (monomers) were approximated numerically
- Yu. Starosvetsky and A.F. Vakakis, Urbana-Champneys
- G. James, Grenoble
- Our work focuses on the periodic travelling waves in chains of beads of alternating masses (dimers).


## Experimental setups (CalTECH)



Figure : N. Boechler, G. Theocharis, S. Job, P.G. Kevrekidis, M.A. Porter, and C. Daraio, PRL 104, 244302 (2010)


Figure : Y. Man, N. Boechler, G. Theocharis, P.G. Kevrekidis, and C. Daraio, Phys. Rev. E 85, 037601 (2012)

## The Dimer Model



Newton's equations define the FPU (Fermi-Pasta-Ulam) lattice:
where the interaction potential for spherical beads is

$$
V(x)=\frac{1}{1+\alpha}|x|^{1+\alpha} H(-x), \quad \alpha=\frac{3}{2}
$$

and $H$ is the step (Heaviside) function.
H. Hertz, J. Reine Angewandte Mathematik, 92 (1882), 156

## Small mass ratio

To study small mass ratios $\varepsilon^{2}=\frac{m}{M}$, we make the substitutions:

$$
n \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad x_{n}(t)=u_{2 n-1}(\tau), \quad y_{n}(t)=\varepsilon w_{2 n}(\tau), \quad t=\sqrt{m} \tau
$$

The FPU lattice is transformed into the equivalent form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}_{2 n-1}=V^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{2 n}-u_{2 n-1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{2 n-1}-\varepsilon w_{2 n-2}\right), \\
\ddot{w}_{2 n}=\varepsilon V^{\prime}\left(u_{2 n+1}-\varepsilon w_{2 n}\right)-\varepsilon V^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{2 n}-u_{2 n-1}\right),
\end{array} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} .\right.
$$

The anti-continuum limit corresponds formally $\varepsilon=0$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}_{2 n-1}=V^{\prime}\left(-u_{2 n-1}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{2 n-1}\right)=-\left|u_{2 n-1}\right|^{\alpha-1} u_{2 n-1} \\
\ddot{w}_{2 n}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

K. Yoshimura, Nonlinearity 24 (2011), 293.

## Periodic travelling waves

Periodicity conditions:

$$
u_{2 n-1}(\tau)=u_{2 n-1}(\tau+2 \pi), \quad w_{2 n}(\tau)=w_{2 n}(\tau+2 \pi), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Travelling wave conditions:
$u_{2 n+1}(\tau)=u_{2 n-1}(\tau+2 q), \quad w_{2 n+2}(\tau)=w_{2 n}(\tau+2 q), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}$,
where $q \in[0, \pi]$ is a free parameter.

Equivalent form for periodic travelling waves:

$$
u_{2 n-1}(\tau)=u_{*}(\tau+2 q n), \quad w_{2 n}(\tau)=w_{*}(\tau+2 q n), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

where $u_{*}$ and $w_{*}$ are $2 \pi$-periodic functions.

## The Monomer Model

In the limit of equal mass ratio, $\varepsilon=1$ we apply the reduction:

$$
n \in \mathbb{Z}: \quad u_{2 n-1}(\tau)=U_{2 n-1}(\tau), \quad w_{2 n}(\tau)=U_{2 n}(\tau)
$$

This substitution, reduces the dimer system to the monomer system:

$$
\ddot{U}_{n}=V^{\prime}\left(U_{n+1}-U_{n}\right)-V^{\prime}\left(U_{n}-U_{n-1}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

G. James, J. Nonlinear Science 22 (2012).

Remark: Travelling waves of the dimer model with $\varepsilon=1$ do not have to obey the reductions to the monomer model.

## Differential Advance-Delay Equation

Expressing the travelling waves as:

$$
u_{2 n-1}(\tau)=u_{*}(\tau+2 q n), \quad w_{2 n}(\tau)=w_{*}(\tau+2 q n), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

we obtain the differential advance-delay equations for $\left(u_{*}, w_{*}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}_{*}(\tau)=V^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau)-u_{*}(\tau)\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{*}(\tau)-\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau-2 q)\right), \\
\ddot{w}_{*}(\tau)=\varepsilon V^{\prime}\left(u_{*}(\tau+2 q)-\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau)\right)-\varepsilon V^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau)-u_{*}(\tau)\right),
\end{array} \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R} .\right.
$$

Remark: For particular values $q=\frac{\pi m}{N}$ with $1 \leq m \leq N$, the differential advance-delay equation is equivalently represented by the system of 2 mN second-order differential equations closed subject to the periodic boundary conditions.

## Anti-continuum Limit

Let $\varphi$ be a solution of the nonlinear oscillator equation,

$$
\ddot{\varphi}=V^{\prime}(-\varphi)-V^{\prime}(\varphi) \quad \rightarrow \quad \ddot{\varphi}+|\varphi|^{\alpha-1} \varphi=0 .
$$

For a unique $2 \pi$-periodic solution we set:

$$
\varphi(0)=0, \quad \dot{\varphi}(0)>0
$$



Figure : Phase portrait of the nonlinear oscillator in the $(\varphi, \dot{\varphi})$-plane.

## Special Solutions

For $\varepsilon=0$, we can construct a limiting solution to the differential advance-delay equations:

$$
\varepsilon=0: \quad u_{*}(\tau)=\varphi(\tau), \quad w_{*}(\tau)=0, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Two solutions are known exactly for all $\varepsilon \geq 0$ :

$$
q=\frac{\pi}{2}: \quad u_{*}(\tau)=\varphi(\tau), \quad w_{*}(\tau)=0
$$

and

$$
q=\pi: \quad u_{*}(\tau)=\frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{3}}, \quad w_{*}(\tau)=\frac{-\varepsilon \varphi(\tau)}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{3}} .
$$

Goals are to consider persistence and stability of the limiting solutions in $\varepsilon$ for any fixed $q \in[0, \pi]$.

## Symmetries and Spaces

If $\left\{u_{2 n-1}(\tau), w_{2 n}(\tau)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a solution, then

- $\left\{u_{2 n-1}(\tau+c), w_{2 n}(\tau+c)\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a solution for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ because of the translational invariance
- $\left\{u_{2 n-1}(\tau)+c \varepsilon, w_{2 n}(\tau)+c\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a solution for any $c \in \mathbb{R}$ because of the symmetry w.r.t. the change of coordinates.

For persistence analysis based on the Implicit Function Theorem, we shall work in the following spaces for $u$ and $w$ :

$$
H_{u}^{2}=\left\{u \in H_{\text {per }}^{2}(0,2 \pi): \quad u(-\tau)=-u(\tau), \tau \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

and

$$
H_{w}^{2}=\left\{w \in H_{\operatorname{per}}^{2}(0,2 \pi): \quad w(\tau)=-w(-\tau-2 q)\right\}
$$

## Theorem 1

Fix $q \in[0, \pi]$. There is a unique $C^{1}$ continuation of $2 \pi$-periodic travelling wave in $\varepsilon$. In other words, there is an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ there exist a positive constant $C$ and a unique solution $\left(u_{*}, w_{*}\right) \in H_{u}^{2} \times H_{w}^{2}$ of the system of differential advance-delay equations (13) such that

$$
\left\|u_{*}-\varphi\right\|_{H_{\text {per }}^{2}} \leq C \varepsilon^{2}, \quad\left\|w_{*}\right\|_{H_{\text {per }}^{2}} \leq C \varepsilon
$$

## Theorem 1

Fix $q \in[0, \pi]$. There is a unique $C^{1}$ continuation of $2 \pi$-periodic travelling wave in $\varepsilon$. In other words, there is an $\varepsilon_{0}>0$ such that for all $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \varepsilon_{0}\right)$ there exist a positive constant $C$ and a unique solution $\left(u_{*}, w_{*}\right) \in H_{u}^{2} \times H_{w}^{2}$ of the system of differential advance-delay equations (13) such that

$$
\left\|u_{*}-\varphi\right\|_{H_{\text {per }}^{2}} \leq C \varepsilon^{2}, \quad\left\|w_{*}\right\|_{H_{\text {per }}^{2}} \leq C \varepsilon
$$

Remark: By Theorem 1, the continuation of exact solutions is unique for small values of $\varepsilon$ :

$$
q=\frac{\pi}{2}: \quad u_{*}(\tau)=\varphi(\tau), \quad w_{*}(\tau)=0
$$

and

$$
q=\pi: \quad u_{*}(\tau)=\frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{3}}, \quad w_{*}(\tau)=\frac{-\varepsilon \varphi(\tau)}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{3}} .
$$

However, other solutions may coexist for large values of $\varepsilon$.

## Formal expansion

Differential advance-delay equations:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}_{*}(\tau)=V^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau)-u_{*}(\tau)\right)-V^{\prime}\left(u_{*}(\tau)-\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau-2 q)\right), \\
\ddot{w}_{*}(\tau)=\varepsilon V^{\prime}\left(u_{*}(\tau+2 q)-\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau)\right)-\varepsilon V^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau)-u_{*}(\tau)\right),
\end{array} \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R} .\right.
$$

If we expand solutions into the perturbation series

$$
u_{*}=\varphi+\varepsilon^{2} u_{*}^{(2)}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right), \quad w_{*}=\varepsilon w_{*}^{(1)}+o\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
$$

we can get nice equations for the first corrections

$$
\ddot{w}_{*}^{(1)}(\tau)=V^{\prime}(\varphi(\tau+2 q))-V^{\prime}(-\varphi(\tau))
$$

and
$\ddot{u}_{*}^{(2)}(\tau)+\alpha|\varphi(\tau)|^{\alpha-1} u_{*}^{(2)}(\tau)=V^{\prime \prime}(-\varphi(\tau)) w_{*}^{(1)}(\tau)+V^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(\tau)) w_{*}^{(1)}(\tau-2 q)$,
but will run into problem of continuation of the perturbation expansions.

Nevertheless, we can solve the linearized inhomogeneous equations

$$
\left(\frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}+\alpha|\varphi|^{\alpha-1}\right) u_{*}^{(2)}=F_{u}^{(2)}, \quad \frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}} w_{*}^{(1)}=F_{w}^{(1)}
$$

if

$$
F_{u}^{(2)} \in L_{u}^{2}=\left\{u \in L_{\mathrm{per}}^{2}(0,2 \pi): \quad u(-\tau)=-u(\tau), \tau \in \mathbb{R}\right\},
$$

and

$$
F_{w}^{(1)} \in L_{w}^{2}=\left\{w \in L_{\text {per }}^{2}(0,2 \pi): \quad w(\tau)=-w(-\tau-2 q)\right\},
$$

Under these conditions

$$
F_{u}^{(2)} \perp \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{u}\right)=\operatorname{span}(\dot{\varphi}), \quad F_{w}^{(1)} \perp \operatorname{Ker}\left(L_{w}\right)=\operatorname{span}(1)
$$

## Proof

To apply the Implicit Function Theorem, we rewrite the existence problem as the root-finding problem for the nonlinear operators:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{u}(u, w, \varepsilon):=\frac{d^{2} u}{d \tau^{2}}-F_{u}(u, w, \varepsilon) \\
f_{w}(u, w, \varepsilon):=\frac{d^{2} w}{d \tau^{2}}-F_{w}(u, w, \varepsilon)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{u}(u(\tau), w(\tau), \varepsilon):=V^{\prime}(\varepsilon w(\tau)-u(\tau))-V^{\prime}(u(\tau)-\varepsilon w(\tau-2 q)), \\
F_{w}(u(\tau), w(\tau), \varepsilon):=\varepsilon V^{\prime}(u(\tau+2 q)-\varepsilon w(\tau))-\varepsilon V^{\prime}(\varepsilon w(\tau)-u(\tau)),
\end{array}\right.
$$

- $f_{u}$ and $f_{w}$ are $C^{1}$ maps from $H_{u}^{2} \times H_{w}^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$ to $L_{u}^{2} \times L_{w}^{2}$ since $V \in C^{2}$.
- $\operatorname{At}(\varphi, 0,0),\left(f_{u}, f_{w}\right)=(0,0)$.
- The Jacobian operator

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
D_{u} f_{u} & D_{u} f_{w} \\
D_{w} f_{u} & D_{w} f_{w}
\end{array}\right]_{(u, w, \varepsilon)=(\varphi, 0,0)}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}+\alpha|\varphi|^{\alpha-1} & 0 \\
0 & \frac{d^{2}}{d \tau^{2}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

is invertible in the constrained spaces since the linear operators have zero-dimensional kernels in $H_{u}^{2}$ and $H_{w}^{2}$ respectively.

The result follows by the Implicit Function Theorem.

## Linearization

To analyze stability of travelling waves, we linearize the dimer lattice equations around the travelling waves:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\ddot{u}_{2 n-1}=V^{\prime \prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau+2 q n)-u_{*}(\tau+2 q n)\right)\left(\varepsilon w_{2 n}-u_{2 n-1}\right) \\
-V^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{*}(\tau+2 q n)-\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau+2 q n-2 q)\right)\left(u_{2 n-1}-\varepsilon w_{2 n-2}\right), \\
\ddot{w}_{2 n}=\varepsilon V^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{*}(\tau+2 q n+2 q)-\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau+2 q n)\right)\left(u_{2 n+1}-\varepsilon w_{2 n}\right) \\
-\varepsilon V^{\prime \prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau+2 q n)-u_{*}(\tau+2 q n)\right)\left(\varepsilon w_{2 n}-u_{2 n-1}\right),
\end{array}\right.
$$

We use Floquet Theory for the chain of second-order ODEs:

$$
\mathbf{u}(\tau+2 \pi)=\mathcal{M} \mathbf{u}(\tau), \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\mathbf{u}:=\left[\cdots, w_{2 n-2}, u_{2 n-1}, w_{2 n}, u_{2 n+1}, \cdots\right]$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is the monodromy operator.

Eigenvalues of the monodromy operator, $\mathcal{M}$ are found via the substitution:

$$
u_{2 n-1}(\tau)=U_{2 n-1}(\tau) e^{\lambda \tau}, \quad W_{2 n}(\tau)=W_{2 n}(\tau) e^{\lambda \tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\left(U_{2 n-1}, W_{2 n}\right)$ are $2 \pi$-periodic functions of $\tau$.
Admissible $\lambda$ are called the characteristic exponents. They define Floquet multipliers $\mu$ :

$$
\mu=e^{2 \pi \lambda}
$$

For $\varepsilon=0$, the only characteristic exponent is $\lambda=0$. It splits for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and the goal here is to study the splitting of the zero eigenvalue.

Eigenvalues of the monodromy operator, $\mathcal{M}$ are found via the substitution:

$$
u_{2 n-1}(\tau)=U_{2 n-1}(\tau) e^{\lambda \tau}, \quad W_{2 n}(\tau)=W_{2 n}(\tau) e^{\lambda \tau}, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}
$$

where $\left(U_{2 n-1}, W_{2 n}\right)$ are $2 \pi$-periodic functions of $\tau$.
Admissible $\lambda$ are called the characteristic exponents. They define Floquet multipliers $\mu$ :

$$
\mu=e^{2 \pi \lambda}
$$

For $\varepsilon=0$, the only characteristic exponent is $\lambda=0$. It splits for $\varepsilon \neq 0$ and the goal here is to study the splitting of the zero eigenvalue.

Challenges: The spectrum of linearization is continuous. $V^{\prime \prime}$ is only continuous.

## Theorem 2

Fix $q=\frac{\pi m}{N}$ for some positive integers $m$ and $N$ such that $m \leq N$. Let $\left(u_{*}, w_{*}\right) \in H_{u}^{2} \times H_{w}^{2}$ be defined by Theorem 1. For a sufficiently small $\varepsilon$, there exists $q_{0} \in(0, \pi / 2)$ such that the travelling periodic waves in the linear eigenvalue problem closed at the 2 mN -periodic boundary conditions are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
0<q<q_{0}, \quad \pi-q_{0}<q<\pi \Rightarrow \text { stable } \\
q_{0}<q<\pi-q \quad \Rightarrow \text { unstable }
\end{gathered}
$$

- Special solution with $q=\pi$ is stable.
- Special solution with $q=\pi / 2$ is unstable.


## Formal expansions

We expand the eigenvalue

$$
\lambda=\varepsilon \Lambda+o(\varepsilon)
$$

and the eigenvectors

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
U_{2 n-1}=c_{2 n-1} \dot{\varphi}(\tau+2 q n)+\varepsilon U_{2 n-1}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{2} U_{2 n-1}^{(2)}+\mathrm{o}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
W_{2 n}=a_{2 n}+\varepsilon W_{2 n}^{(1)}+\varepsilon^{2} W_{2 n}^{(2)}+\mathrm{o}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\left\{c_{2 n-1}, a_{2 n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\Lambda$ are to be computed from the reduced eigenvalue problem:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K \Lambda^{2} c_{2 n-1}=M_{1}\left(c_{2 n+1}+c_{2 n-3}-2 c_{2 n-1}\right)+L_{1} \Lambda\left(a_{2 n}-a_{2 n-2}\right) \\
\Lambda^{2} a_{2 n}=M_{2}\left(a_{2 n+2}+a_{2 n-2}-2 a_{2 n}\right)+L_{2} \Lambda\left(c_{2 n+1}-c_{2 n-1}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $K>0, M_{1}(q), M_{2}, L_{1}, L_{2}<0$ are numerical coefficients (computed from projections). Only $M_{1}$ depends on $q$.

## Analysis of the reduced eigenvalue problem

Using a discrete Fourier transform,

$$
c_{2 n-1}=C e^{i \theta(2 n-1)}, \quad a_{2 n}=A e^{i 2 \theta n}, \quad \theta \in[0, \pi]
$$

we transform the quadratic eigenvalue problem to the finite-dimensional form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
K \Lambda^{2} C=2 M_{1}(\cos (2 \theta)-1) C+2 i L_{1} \Lambda \sin (\theta) A \\
\Lambda^{2} A=2 M_{2}(\cos (2 \theta)-1) A+2 i L_{2} \Lambda \sin (\theta) C
\end{array}\right.
$$

Eigenvalues are defined by roots of the characteristic polynomial:

$$
D(\Lambda ; \theta)=K \Lambda^{4}+4 \Lambda^{2}\left(M_{1}+K M_{2}+L_{1} L_{2}\right) \sin ^{2}(\theta)+16 M_{1} M_{2} \sin ^{4}(\theta)=0
$$

To classify the nonzero roots of $D(\Lambda ; \theta)$, we define

$$
\Gamma:=M_{1}+K M_{2}+L_{1} L_{2}, \quad \Delta:=4 K M_{1} M_{2} .
$$

## Roots of the bi-quadratic equation

The characteristic polynomial

$$
D(\Lambda ; \theta)=K^{2} \Lambda^{4}+4 \Lambda^{2} K \Gamma \sin ^{2}(\theta)+4 \Delta \sin ^{4}(\theta)=0
$$

has two pairs of roots, which are determined in the following table:

| Coefficients | Roots | $q$ Values |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\Delta<0$ | $\Lambda_{1}^{2}<0<\Lambda_{2}^{2}$ | $q_{0}<q<\pi-q$ |
| $0<\Delta \leq \Gamma^{2}, \Gamma>0$ | $\Lambda_{1}^{2} \leq \Lambda_{2}^{2}<0$ | $0<q<q_{0}$ |
| $0<\Delta \leq \Gamma^{2}, \Gamma<0$ | $\Lambda_{1}^{2} \geq \Lambda_{2}^{2}>0$ |  |
| $\Delta>\Gamma^{2}$ | $\operatorname{Re}\left(\Lambda_{1}^{2}\right)>0, \operatorname{Re}\left(\Lambda_{2}^{2}\right)<0$ |  |

where $q_{0} \approx 0.915$

## Krein signature of eigenvalues

- Because of 2 mN -periodic boundary conditions, the admissible values of $\theta$ are discrete and finite:

$$
\theta=\frac{\pi k}{m N} \equiv \theta_{k}(m, N), \quad k=0,1, \ldots, m N-1 .
$$

We count $4 m N$ eigenvalues $\lambda=\varepsilon \Lambda+o(\varepsilon)$ but some are double because $\sin (\theta)=\sin (\pi-\theta)$.

- The semi-simple eigenvalues $\lambda \in i \mathbb{R}$ have nonzero Krein signature:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma & =i \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left[u_{2 n-1} \dot{\bar{u}}_{2 n-1}-\bar{u}_{2 n-1} \dot{u}_{2 n-1}+w_{2 n} \dot{\bar{W}}_{2 n}-\bar{w}_{2 n} \dot{w}_{2 n}\right] \\
& =\varepsilon \sigma^{(1)}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Semi-simple eigenvalues $\lambda \in i \mathbb{R}$ are structurally stable w.r.t. $\varepsilon$.

## Renormalization technique

Challenges: if $V^{\prime \prime}$ is only continuous, the $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ computations involving computations of $V^{\prime \prime \prime}$ need to be justified.

A renormalization is performed by using the derivative expansion,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dddot{u}_{*}(\tau)= & V^{\prime \prime}\left(\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau)-u_{*}(\tau)\right)\left(\varepsilon \dot{w}_{*}(\tau)-\dot{u}_{*}(\tau)\right) \\
& -V^{\prime \prime}\left(u_{*}(\tau)-\varepsilon w_{*}(\tau-2 q)\right)\left(\dot{u}_{*}(\tau)-\varepsilon \dot{w}_{*}(\tau-2 q)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using now

$$
U_{2 n-1}=c_{2 n-1} \dot{u}_{*}(\tau+2 q n)+\mathcal{U}_{2 n-1}, \quad W_{2 n}=\mathcal{W}_{2 n}
$$

we obtain the linear eigenvalue problem, for which $O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)$ terms of the perturbation expansions are computed without computing $V^{\prime \prime \prime}$.

## Numerical Results

We close the infinite chain of beads into a chain of $2 N$ (i.e. $q=\frac{\pi}{N}$ ) beads with periodic boundary conditions:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}_{2 n-1}(t)=\left(\varepsilon w_{2 n}(t)-u_{2 n-1}(t)\right)_{+}^{\alpha}-\left(u_{2 n-1}(t)-\varepsilon w_{2 n-2}(t)\right)_{+}^{\alpha}, \\
\ddot{w}_{2 n}(t)=\varepsilon\left(u_{2 n-1}(t)-\varepsilon w_{2 n}(t)\right)_{+}^{\alpha}-\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon w_{2 n}(t)-u_{2 n+1}(t)\right)_{+}^{\alpha},
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $1 \leq n \leq N$ and the periodic boundary conditions are used:

$$
u_{-1}=u_{2 N-1}, \quad u_{2 N+1}=u_{1}, \quad w_{0}=w_{2 N}, \quad w_{2 N+2}=w_{2} .
$$

- We use the shooting method with $N$ shooting parameters to approximate the travelling wave solutions.
- Then, we compute Floquet multipliers from the monodromy matrix of the linearized system.


## $N=1$

For $q=\pi(N=1)$, the results are trivial:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}_{1}(t)=\left(\varepsilon w_{2}(t)-u_{1}(t)\right)_{+}^{\alpha}-\left(u_{1}(t)-\varepsilon w_{2}(t)\right)_{+}^{\alpha} \\
\ddot{w}_{2}(t)=\varepsilon\left(u_{1}(t)-\varepsilon w_{2}(t)\right)_{+}^{\alpha}-\varepsilon\left(\varepsilon w_{2}(t)-u_{1}(t)\right)_{+}^{\alpha},
\end{array}\right.
$$

The exact solution is:

$$
q=\pi: \quad u_{*}(\tau)=\frac{\varphi(\tau)}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{3}}, \quad w_{*}(\tau)=\frac{-\varepsilon \varphi(\tau)}{\left(1+\varepsilon^{2}\right)^{3}}
$$

The branch of solutions is unique for all $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$. At $\varepsilon=1$, it matches the periodic wave in monomers studied by G. James (2012):

$$
q=\pi, \varepsilon=1: \quad u_{*}(\tau)=\frac{1}{8} \varphi(\tau), \quad w_{*}(\tau)=-\frac{1}{8} \varphi(\tau)
$$

The branch of solution is stable for all $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$.

## Existence for $N=2$






Figure : Travelling wave solutions for $q=\frac{\pi}{2}(N=2)$ : branch 1 (top right), branch 2 (bottom left), and branch $2^{\prime}$ (bottom right) at $\varepsilon=1$.

## Stability for $N=2$






Figure : Real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of the characteristic exponents $\lambda$ versus $\varepsilon$ for $q=\frac{\pi}{2}$ for branch 1 (top) and branch 2 (bottom).

## Existence for $N=3$



Figure : Travelling wave solutions for $q=\frac{\pi}{3}$ : the solution of branch 1 is continued from $\varepsilon=0$ to $\varepsilon=1$ (top right) and the solution of branch 2 is continued from $\varepsilon=1$ (bottom left) to $\varepsilon=0.985$ (bottom right).

## Stability for $N=3$



Figure : Real (left) and imaginary (right) parts of the characteristic exponents $\lambda$ versus $\varepsilon$ for $q=\frac{\pi}{3}$ for branch 1 (top) and branch 2 (bottom).

## Stability for $N \geq 4$

Recall that branch 1 is stable for $0<q<q_{0} \approx 0.915$, that is, for $N \geq 4$.



Figure: Imaginary parts of the characteristic exponents $\lambda$ versus $\varepsilon$ for $q=\frac{\pi}{4}$ (left) and $q=\frac{\pi}{5}$ (right). The real part of all the exponents is zero.

## Conclusions

- We have shown analytically that the limiting periodic waves are uniquely continued from the anti-continuum limit for small mass ratio parameters.
- We have shown analytically that periodic waves with wavelengths larger than a certain critical value are spectrally stable for small mass ratios.
- We have used numerical techniques to show that for larger wavelengths the stability of these periodic travelling waves with $N \geq 4$ persists all the way to the limit of equal mass ratio.
- We have shown numerically that another branch of solutions bifurcates from the limit of equal mass ratio and but it is unstable for $N \geq 4$.


## Open Problems

- The nature of the bifurcations where Branch 2 terminates at $\varepsilon_{*} \in(0,1)$ needs to be clarified for $N \geq 3$. We have been unsuccessful in our attempts to find another solution branch nearby for $\varepsilon \gtrsim \varepsilon_{*}$.
discontinuity-induced bifurcation?
- We would like to understand the hidden symmetry which explains why coalescent eigenvalues remain stable for branch 1 for all $\varepsilon \in[0,1]$.
different invariant subspaces?

