# Iteration method for nonlinear wave equations Dmitry Pelinovsky

Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Canada

Joint work with Yury Stepanyants (ANSTO, Australia) SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 42, 1110–1127 (2004)

Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, January 21, 2005

History of Petviashvili's algorithm
Proof of convergence
Applications and numerical issues

History of Petviashvili's algorithm
Proof of convergence
Applications and numerical issues

Nonlinear wave equation:

$$u_t + u^p u_x + (\mathcal{L}u)_x = 0$$

History of Petviashvili's algorithm
Proof of convergence
Applications and numerical issues

Nonlinear wave equation:

$$u_t + u^p u_x + (\mathcal{L}u)_x = 0$$

- KdV equation  $\mathcal{L} = \partial_x^2$
- **BO** equation  $\mathcal{L} = \partial_x H$
- **ZK equation**  $\mathcal{L} = \partial_x^2 + \partial_y^2$
- **KP** equation  $\mathcal{L} = \partial_x^2 \partial_x^{-2} \partial_y^2$

#### The Problem

# Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP-I) equation $(u_t + 2uu_x + u_{xxx})_x = u_{yy}$

Travelling wave solutions for  $u(x, y, t) = \Phi(x - ct, y)$ :  $\left(c - \partial_x^2 + \partial_x^{-2} \partial_y^2\right) \Phi(x, y) = \Phi^2(x, y),$ 

#### The Problem

# Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (KP-I) equation $(u_t + 2uu_x + u_{xxx})_x = u_{yy}$

Travelling wave solutions for 
$$u(x, y, t) = \Phi(x - ct, y)$$
:  
 $\left(c - \partial_x^2 + \partial_x^{-2} \partial_y^2\right) \Phi(x, y) = \Phi^2(x, y),$ 

such that

$$c > 0,$$
  $\Phi(x, y) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2),$ 

and

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \Phi(x,y) dx = 0, \quad \text{for any} \quad y \in \mathbb{R}$$

#### **Iterative Solution**

# Double Fourier transform: $\Phi(x, y) \mapsto \hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(x, y) \ e^{-ik_x x - ik_y y} dx dy$

#### **Bound-state problem:**

$$\left(c + k_x^2 + k_x^{-2}k_y^2\right)\hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y) = \hat{\Phi}^2(k_x, k_y)$$

such that

$$c > 0,$$
  $\hat{\Phi} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2),$   $\hat{\Phi}(0, k_y) = 0.$ 

Naive iteration algorithm:

$$\hat{u}_{n+1}(k_x, k_y) = \frac{\hat{u}_n^2(k_x, k_y)}{c + k_x^2 + k_x^{-2}k_y^2}$$

Bad news: the algorithms always diverges!

#### Solution by Petviashvili (1976)

Iterations with a stabilizing factor:

$$\hat{u}_{n+1}(k_x, k_y) = M_n^{\gamma} \frac{u_n^2(k_x, k_y)}{c + k_x^2 + k_x^{-2} k_y^2},$$

where

$$M_n = \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_x dk_y \ (c + k_x^2 + k_x^{-2} k_y^2) \ (\hat{u}_n)^2}{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_x dk_y \ \hat{u}_n \ \hat{u}_n^2(k)}$$

#### Solution by Petviashvili (1976)

Iterations with a stabilizing factor:

$$\hat{u}_{n+1}(k_x, k_y) = M_n^{\gamma} \frac{u_n^2(k_x, k_y)}{c + k_x^2 + k_x^{-2} k_y^2},$$

where

$$M_n = \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_x dk_y \ (c + k_x^2 + k_x^{-2} k_y^2) \ (\hat{u}_n)^2}{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^2} dk_x dk_y \ \hat{u}_n \ \hat{u}_n^2(k)}$$

- Fixed points of iterations coincide with solutions of the problem.
- Algorithm converges if  $1 < \gamma < 3$  for any c > 0
- Convergence is the fastest at  $\gamma = 2$
- The bound state  $\Phi(x, y)$  exists for any c > 0, such that  $\Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  but  $\Phi \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$

#### Results on KP1 lumps (solitons)

• Exact analytical expression for  $\Phi(x, y)$  (Zakharov et al, 1977):  $\Phi(x, y) = 12c \frac{3 + c^2y^2 - cx^2}{(3 + c^2y^2 + cx^2)^2}.$ 

Inverse scattering transform for KPI equation (Ablowitz, Fokas, 1983)
Non-uniqueness of non-positive bound states Φ(x, y) (Pelinovsky, 1993)



#### Exact solutions of KP-I lumps



No proof of convergence
"Spurious" multi-humped lumps
Applicability to other nonlinear wave equations

#### Convergence of a self-similar sequence

- Assume existence of a bound state  $\Phi(x, y)$
- Consider a special self-similar sequence:

$$\hat{u}_n(k_x, k_y) = x_n \hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y),$$

•  $x_n$  satisfy the power iteration map:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n^{2-\gamma}, \qquad M_n = x_n^{-1}.$$

#### Convergence of a self-similar sequence

- Assume existence of a bound state  $\Phi(x, y)$
- Consider a special self-similar sequence:

$$\hat{u}_n(k_x, k_y) = x_n \hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y),$$

•  $x_n$  satisfy the power iteration map:

$$x_{n+1} = x_n^{2-\gamma}, \qquad M_n = x_n^{-1}.$$

- Power iteration map converges for  $1 < \gamma < 3$ .
- When  $\gamma = 2$ , convergence occurs in a single iteration.
- There exists at least one sequence  $\{x_n \hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ ,  $x_0 > 0$ , that converges to  $\hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y)$ .

#### Convergence of a self-similar sequence



 $\circ \gamma = 1.1$  - monotonic convergence

•  $\gamma = 2.0$  - fastest convergence

 $\circ~\gamma=2.9$  - sign-alternating convergence

#### Contraction Mapping Principle

$$\hat{u}_n \mapsto \hat{u}_{n+1} = \mathcal{A}(\hat{u}_n), \qquad u_n \in X(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

Bound state is a fixed point of  $\mathcal{A}$ :

 $\hat{\Phi} = \mathcal{A}(\hat{\Phi}), \qquad \Phi \in X(\mathbb{R}^2)$ 

Theorem: If  $\mathcal{A}(\hat{u}_n)$  has a continuous Frechet derivative  $\mathcal{A}'(\hat{u}_n)$ in a small open neighborhood of  $\hat{\Phi}$  in  $X(\mathbb{R}^2)$  and the spectral radius of  $\mathcal{A}'(\hat{\Phi})$  is smaller than one, then there is a small open ball  $S(\hat{\Phi}, \delta) \in X(\mathbb{R}^2)$  such that

 $||\mathcal{A}(\hat{f}) - \mathcal{A}(\hat{g})||_{X(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le q||\hat{f} - \hat{g}||_{X(\mathbb{R}^2)}, \qquad \forall \hat{f}, \hat{g} \in S(\hat{\Phi}, \delta)$  where

$$q = \sup_{\hat{u}_n \in S(\hat{\Phi}, \delta)} ||\mathcal{A}'(\hat{u}_n)|| < 1.$$

## Frechet derivative $\mathcal{A}'(\hat{\Phi})$

• Linearize the nonlinear iteration map with

$$\hat{w}_n(k_x, k_y) = \hat{u}_n(k_x, k_y) - \hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y),$$

$$m_n = M_n - 1$$

• Linearized iteration map:

and

$$\hat{w}_{n+1}(k_x, k_y) = \gamma m_n \hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y) + 2 \frac{\hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y) * \hat{w}_n(k_x, k_y)}{c + k_x^2 + k_x^{-2} k_y^2},$$
 such that

$$m_n = -\frac{\langle \Phi^2, w_n \rangle}{\langle \Phi^2, \Phi \rangle}$$

• Constrained function space  $X_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ :

$$X_p = \{ U \in X(\mathbb{R}^2) : \langle \Phi^2, U \rangle = 0 \}.$$

#### Homogeneous linearization problem

In Fourier space,

$$\hat{q}_{n+1}(k_x, k_y) = 2 \frac{\hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y) * \hat{q}_n(k_x, k_y)}{c + k_x^2 + k_x^{-2} k_y^2}$$

In physical space,

$$q_{n+1}(x,y) = q_n(x,y) - (c+\mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{H}q_n(x,y).$$

where

$$\mathcal{L} = -\partial_x^2 + \partial_x^{-2}\partial_y^2, \qquad \mathcal{H} = c + \mathcal{L} - 2\Phi(x, y)$$

Consider the generalized eigenvalue problem:

$$\mathcal{H}U = \lambda(c + \mathcal{L})U$$

equipped with the sign-definite inner product:  $\langle U, (c + \mathcal{L})V \rangle$ 

#### **Decompositions and projections**

• There exists a solution  $U = \Phi$  for  $\lambda = -1$ , such that  $(c + \mathcal{L})\Phi = \Phi^2$ 

• There exists a solution  $U = \Phi_x, \Phi_y$  for  $\lambda = 0$ 

#### **Decompositions and projections**

• There exists a solution  $U = \Phi$  for  $\lambda = -1$ , such that  $(c + \mathcal{L})\Phi = \Phi^2$ 

- There exists a solution  $U = \Phi_x, \Phi_y$  for  $\lambda = 0$
- There exists an orthogonal decomposition:

$$\hat{w}_n = a_n \hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y) + \hat{q}_n,$$

such that

• 
$$a_n = -m_n$$
  
•  $m_{n+1} = (2 - \gamma)m_n$   
•  $\langle \Phi^2, q_n \rangle = 0$ 

#### Decompositions and projections

• There exists a solution  $U = \Phi$  for  $\lambda = -1$ , such that  $(c + \mathcal{L})\Phi = \Phi^2$ 

- There exists a solution  $U = \Phi_x, \Phi_y$  for  $\lambda = 0$
- There exists an orthogonal decomposition:

$$\hat{w}_n = a_n \hat{\Phi}(k_x, k_y) + \hat{q}_n,$$

such that

• 
$$a_n = -m_n$$
  
•  $m_{n+1} = (2 - \gamma)m_n$   
•  $\langle \Phi^2, q_n \rangle = 0$ 

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} m_n = 0$  if and only if  $1 < \gamma < 3$ 

•  $\lim_{n\to\infty} q_n(x,y) = 0$  if and only if  $0 < \lambda < 2$  where  $\lambda$  are eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{H}U = \lambda \mathcal{L}U$  in  $X_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ 

• The spectrum of  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  consists of  $n(\mathcal{H})$  negative eigenvalues,  $z(\mathcal{H})$  zero eigenvalues, and the rest of the spectrum is bounded away of zero.

- The spectrum of  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  consists of  $n(\mathcal{H})$  negative eigenvalues,  $z(\mathcal{H})$  zero eigenvalues, and the rest of the spectrum is bounded away of zero.
- There exists  $n(\mathcal{H}) 1$  negative eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $X_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\mathcal{H}U = \mu U - \nu \Phi^2, \qquad U \in X_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

- The spectrum of  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$  consists of  $n(\mathcal{H})$  negative eigenvalues,  $z(\mathcal{H})$  zero eigenvalues, and the rest of the spectrum is bounded away of zero.
- There exists  $n(\mathcal{H}) 1$  negative eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{H}$  in  $X_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$   $\mathcal{H}U = \mu U - \nu \Phi^2, \qquad U \in X_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ • There exists  $n(\mathcal{H}) - 1$  negative eigenvalues of  $(c + \mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{H}$  in  $X_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$   $\forall U \in X_p(\mathbb{R}^2)$ :  $\langle U, \mathcal{H}U \rangle = \sum \mu_k \langle U_k, U_k \rangle = \sum \lambda_k \langle U_k, (c + \mathcal{L})U_k \rangle$

 $\sigma(\mathcal{H}) \qquad \qquad \sigma((c+\mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{H})$ 

• Equivalent form:

$$(c + \mathcal{L})U = \frac{2}{1 - \lambda}\Phi(x, y)U$$

• Equivalent form:

$$\mathcal{M}V = \frac{1-\lambda}{2}V, \qquad \mathcal{M} = (c+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}\Phi(x,y)(c+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}$$

• Equivalent form:

$$(c + \mathcal{L})U = \frac{2}{1 - \lambda}\Phi(x, y)U$$

• Equivalent form:

$$\mathcal{M}V = \frac{1-\lambda}{2}V, \qquad \mathcal{M} = (c+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}\Phi(x,y)(c+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}$$

• There exist infinitely many isolated eigenvalues  $\lambda$  in the interval  $0 < \lambda < 1$  that accumulate to  $\lambda \to 1^-$ 

• Equivalent form:

$$(c + \mathcal{L})U = \frac{2}{1 - \lambda}\Phi(x, y)U$$

• Equivalent form:

$$\mathcal{M}V = \frac{1-\lambda}{2}V, \qquad \mathcal{M} = (c+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}\Phi(x,y)(c+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}$$

• There exist infinitely many isolated eigenvalues  $\lambda$  in the interval  $0 < \lambda < 1$  that accumulate to  $\lambda \to 1^-$ 

• When  $\Phi \ge 0$ , no eigenvalues exist for  $\lambda > 1$ 

• Equivalent form:

$$(c + \mathcal{L})U = \frac{2}{1 - \lambda}\Phi(x, y)U$$

• Equivalent form:

$$\mathcal{M}V = \frac{1-\lambda}{2}V, \qquad \mathcal{M} = (c+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}\Phi(x,y)(c+\mathcal{L})^{-1/2}$$

• There exist infinitely many isolated eigenvalues  $\lambda$  in the interval  $0 < \lambda < 1$  that accumulate to  $\lambda \to 1^-$ 

• When  $\Phi \ge 0$ , no eigenvalues exist for  $\lambda > 1$ 

• When  $\Phi$  is sign-indefinite, there exists infinitely many isolated eigenvalues  $\lambda$  in the interval  $1 < \lambda < \lambda_{\max}$  that accumulate to  $\lambda \to 1^+$ 

## Bound on the largest eigenvalue of $(c + \mathcal{L})^{-1}\mathcal{H}$

$$\lambda = 1 - 2 \frac{\langle U, \Phi U \rangle}{\langle U, (c + \mathcal{L})U \rangle}, \qquad \lambda_{\max} < 1 + \frac{2}{c} \left| \min_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(x,y) \right|$$



#### Convergence of the algorithm for KP-I equation

1 < γ < 3 with the maximal rate at γ = 2</li>
n(H) = 1

•  $\lambda_{\max} < 1 + 1 = 2$ , since

$$\min_{(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2}\Phi(x,y) = \Phi\left(\pm\frac{3}{\sqrt{c}},0\right) = -\frac{c}{2}.$$

#### Convergence of the algorithm for KP-I equation

•  $1 < \gamma < 3$  with the maximal rate at  $\gamma = 2$ •  $n(\mathcal{H}) = 1$ •  $\lambda_{\max} < 1 + 1 = 2$ , since  $\min_{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2} \Phi(x,y) = \Phi\left(\pm \frac{3}{\sqrt{c}}, 0\right) = -\frac{c}{2}.$ 

# Extension to the generalized KP-I equation: $\left(c - \partial_x^2 + \partial_x^{-2} \partial_y^2\right) \Phi(x, y) = \Phi^p(x, y), \qquad p = 2, 3, 4$

• Proof of existence for p = 2, 3, 4 by A. de Bourd, J.C.Saut (1997)

• Proof of non-existence for  $p \ge 5$  by Y. Liu and X.P. Wang (1997)

### Numerical solutions for p = 2, 3, 4





- Systematic proof of convergence of the iteration method
- Applications to classes of KdV, BO, ZK, and KP equations
- Analysis of single-humped and multi-humped nonlinear waves
- Possibility of generalizations