On Transverse Stability of Discrete Line Solitons

Dmitry Pelinovsky

Department of Mathematics, McMaster University, Canada URL: http://dmpeli.math.mcmaster.ca

Joint work with Jianke Yang (University of Vermont, USA)

BIRS workshop, November 5, 2012

- 4 回 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト



Line Solitons and Transverse Stability Lattice NLS equation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

3

- In many Hamiltonian PDEs, one-dimensional solitons are unstable with respect to transverse perturbations:
 - Two-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + u_{xx} \pm u_{yy} + |u|^2 u = 0.$$

Dark solitons and KP-I equation

$$(u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx})_x = u_{yy}$$



Line Solitons and Transverse Stability Lattice NLS equation

- In many Hamiltonian PDEs, one-dimensional solitons are unstable with respect to transverse perturbations:
 - Two-dimensional nonlinear Schrödinger equation

$$iu_t + u_{xx} \pm u_{yy} + |u|^2 u = 0.$$

Dark solitons and KP-I equation

$$(u_t + uu_x + u_{xxx})_x = u_{yy}$$

- Old works: Kadomtsev–Petviashvili (1970), Zakharov–Rubenchik (1971), Zakharov (1975), Pelinovsky–Stepanyants (1993), Bridges (2000).
- Recent works: Rousset–Tzvetkov (2008), Johnson–Zumbrun (2010), Stefanov–Stanislavova (2011), Haragus (2012), ...

Line Solitons and Transverse Stability Lattice NLS equation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Mathematical techniques

- Direct perturbation theory for eigenvalues
- Multi-symplectic geometric perturbation theory
- Evans function and algebraic perturbation theory
- Functional analysis framework and negative index theory (*)

Line Solitons and Transverse Stability Lattice NLS equation

Lattice NLS equation

The discrete NLS (dNLS) equation

 $i\dot{u}_{m,n} + \epsilon(u_{m+1,n} + u_{m-1,n} + u_{m,n+1} + u_{m,n-1} - 4u_{m,n}) + |u_{m,n}|^2 u_{m,n} = 0,$ where $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$, $u_{m,n} \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$.

The Gross-Pitaevskii equation with a periodic potential:

$$iu_t + u_{xx} + u_{yy} - V_0 \sin^2(x) \sin^2(y)u + |u|^2 u = 0,$$

where $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $u \in \mathbb{C}$, and $V_0 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Yang [PRA **84**, 033840 (2011)] found that line solitons can become stable with respect to transverse perturbations.

Line Solitons and Transverse Stability Lattice NLS equation

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

One-dimensional (stripe) dNLS lattice

$$i\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial t} + \epsilon(u_{m+1} + u_{m-1} - 2u_m) + \kappa \frac{\partial^2 u_m}{\partial y^2} + |u_m|^2 u_m = 0,$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $y \in \mathbb{R}$, $u_m \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\epsilon, \kappa \in \mathbb{R}$.


Yang *et al.* [Opt. Lett. **37**, 1571 (2012)] found again numerically that line solitons can become transversely stable.

Our objective is to study this phenomenon analytically by using the negative index theory.

Linearized dNLS equation:

$$i\dot{u}_{m,n} + \epsilon(u_{m+1,n} + u_{m-1,n} + u_{m,n+1} + u_{m,n-1} - 4u_{m,n}) = 0.$$

Bifurcations of stationary solitons occur from critical points of the dispersion surface, where $\nabla \omega = 0$.



Linear waves $e^{ikm+ipn-i\omega t}$ with $(k,p) \in [-\pi,\pi] \times [-\pi,\pi]$ satisfies the dispersion relation

$$\omega(k,p) = \epsilon(4-2\cos(k)-2\cos(p))$$

Critical points at (0,0), $(\pi,0)$, $(0,\pi)$, and (π,π) .

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト


Linear Dispersion Surface Critical points Continuous reductions

Minimum point Γ : k = p = 0, $\omega(0, 0) = 0$

Line solitons $u_{m,n}(t) = e^{i\mu^2 t} \psi_m$ satisfy the 1D dNLS equation

$$-\mu^2 \psi_m + \epsilon (\psi_{m+1} + \psi_{m-1} - 2\psi_m) + |\psi_m|^2 \psi_m = 0,$$

A fundamental soliton exists for any $\epsilon > 0$ (Hermann, 2011)



Continuous approximation $\psi_m \sim \sqrt{2}\mu \operatorname{sech}\left(\frac{\mu m}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}\right)$ as $\mu \to 0$ (Bambusi and Penati, 2010).

Dmitry Pelinovsky (McMaster University) On Transverse Stability of Discrete Line Solitons


Linear Dispersion Surface Critical points Continuous reductions

Saddle point X : k = 0, $p = \pi$, $\omega(0, \pi) = 4\epsilon$

Line solitons $u_{m,n}(t) = (-1)^n e^{i(\mu^2 - 4\epsilon)t} \psi_m$ satisfy the same 1D dNLS equation

$$-\mu^2 \psi_m + \epsilon (\psi_{m+1} + \psi_{m-1} - 2\psi_m) + |\psi_m|^2 \psi_m = 0,$$

Another family of line solitons exist.



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

э

Linear Dispersion Surface Critical points Continuous reductions

Saddle point X' : $k = \pi$, p = 0, $\omega(\pi, 0) = 4\epsilon$

Line solitons $u_{m,n}(t) = (-1)^m e^{i(-\mu^2 - 4\epsilon)t} \psi_m$ satisfy the 1D dNLS equation

$$\mu^2 \psi_m - \epsilon (\psi_{m+1} + \psi_{m-1} - 2\psi_m) + |\psi_m|^2 \psi_m = 0.$$

No line solitons exist because

$$\mu^{2} \|\psi\|_{l^{2}}^{2} + \epsilon \langle \psi, (-\Delta)\psi \rangle + \|\psi\|_{l^{4}}^{4} = 0$$

yields a contradiction.

Linear Dispersion Surface Critical points Continuous reductions

Maximum point
$$M$$
 : $k=\pi$, $p=\pi$, $\omega(\pi,\pi)=8\epsilon$

Line solitons $u_{m,n}(t) = (-1)^{m+n} e^{i(-\mu^2 - 8\epsilon)t} \psi_m$ satisfy the same 1D dNLS equation

$$\mu^2 \psi_m - \epsilon (\psi_{m+1} + \psi_{m-1} - 2\psi_m) + |\psi_m|^2 \psi_m = 0.$$

No line solitons exist.

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)

Linear Dispersion Surface Critical points Continuous reductions

Minimum point Γ : k = p = 0, $\omega(0,0) = 0$

At the minimum point Γ , we can substitute

$$u_{m,n}(t) = U(X, Y, t)e^{i\mu^2 t}, X = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, Y = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$$

and obtain an elliptic 2D NLS equation as $\epsilon \to \infty$:

$$i\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} + (|U|^2 - \mu^2)U = 0.$$

Line solitons are unstable as $\epsilon \to \infty$.

Would the same be true for all $\epsilon > 0$?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Linear Dispersion Surface Critical points Continuous reductions

Saddle point X : k = 0, $p = \pi$, $\omega(0, \pi) = 4\epsilon$

At the saddle point X, we can substitute

$$u_{m,n}(t) = (-1)^n U(X, Y, T) e^{i(\mu^2 - 4\epsilon)t}, \ X = \frac{m}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}, \ Y = \frac{n}{\sqrt{\epsilon}}$$

and obtain a hyperbolic 2D NLS equation as $\epsilon \to \infty$:

$$i\frac{\partial U}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial X^2} - \frac{\partial^2 U}{\partial Y^2} + (|U|^2 - \mu^2)U = 0.$$

Line solitons are unstable as $\epsilon \to \infty$.

Would the same be true for all $\epsilon > 0$?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Minimum point Γ Saddle point X 1D Stripe dNLS lattice

Instability Theorem

Linearizing at the discrete line soliton,

$$u_{m,n}(t) = e^{i\mu^2 t} \left[\psi_m + v_{m,n}(t) \right], \quad v_{m,n}(t) = e^{\lambda t + ipn} \left(U_m + iW_m \right),$$

we obtain the linear stability problem

$$L_+(p)U = -\lambda W, \quad L_-(p)W = \lambda U,$$

where

$$(L_+U)_m = -\epsilon \left[U_{m+1} + U_{m-1} + (2\cos(p) - 4)U_m \right] + (\mu^2 - 3\psi_m^2)U_m, (L_-W)_m = -\epsilon \left[W_{m+1} + W_{m-1} + (2\cos(p) - 4)W_m \right] + (\mu^2 - \psi_m^2)W_m.$$

Fix $\mu = 1$ and consider a fundamental (positive, 1-humped) soliton:

$$\psi_m = \delta_{m,0} + \epsilon (\delta_{m,1} + \delta_{m,0} + \delta_{m,-1}) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2).$$

(ロ) (同) (E) (E) (E)



Theorem

Consider the fundamental soliton bifurcating from the Γ point. For any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $p_0(\epsilon) \in (0, \pi]$ such that for any p with $0 < |p| < p_0(\epsilon)$ the linear-stability problem admits a pair of real eigenvalues $\pm \lambda(\epsilon, p)$ with $\lambda(\epsilon, p) > 0$.

In addition, $p_0(\epsilon) = \pi$ if $0 < \epsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. Furthermore, for any $p \in [-\pi, \pi]$, the eigenvalue $\lambda(\epsilon, p)$ has the following asymptotic expansion in the anti-continuum limit,

$$\lambda^2(\epsilon, p) = 8\epsilon \sin^2\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0.$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



We have

$$L_{\pm}(p) = L_{\pm}(0) + 2\epsilon \left[1 - \cos(p)\right] \ge L_{\pm}(0).$$

- L_−(0)ψ = 0 with ψ > 0. Hence L_−(0) ≥ 0 and 0 is at the bottom of L_−(0).
- By the perturbation theory, $L_{-}(p) > 0$ for all $p \neq 0$.
- $L_+(0)$ has at least one negative eigenvalue

$$\langle L_+(0)\psi,\psi\rangle=-2\|\psi\|_{l^4}^4<0,$$

moreover, there is only one negative eigenvalue for any $\epsilon > 0$.

 L₊(p) has exactly one negative and no zero eigenvalues for small p ≠ 0.

Background Line solitons Stability Analysis Summary	Minimum point F Saddle point X 1D Stripe dNLS lattice
--	---

Negative Index Theory:

$$egin{aligned} &N_{\mathrm{real}}^-+N_{\mathrm{imag}}^-+N_{\mathrm{comp}}=n(L_+(p))=1,\ &N_{\mathrm{real}}^++N_{\mathrm{imag}}^-+N_{\mathrm{comp}}=n(L_-(p))=0, \end{aligned}$$

where

- N⁺_{real} (N⁻_{real}) are the numbers of real positive eigenvalues λ with positive (negative) quadratic form ⟨L₊(p)U, U⟩ at the eigenvector (U, W) of the linear stability problem;
- ► N_{imag}^- is the number of purely imaginary eigenvalues λ with $\text{Im}(\lambda) > 0$ and negative quadratic form $\langle L_+(p)U, U \rangle$;
- N_{comp} is the number of complex eigenvalues λ with Re(λ) > 0 and Im(λ) > 0.

Hence

$$N_{\mathrm{real}}^- = 1, \quad N_{\mathrm{real}}^+ = N_{\mathrm{imag}}^- = N_{\mathrm{comp}} = 0.$$






Figure : Left: $\epsilon = 0.1$; middle: $\epsilon = 1$; right: $\epsilon = 4$.

イロン イヨン イヨン イヨン

э

 Background Line solitons
 Minimum point Γ

 Stability Analysis Summary
 Stability Analysis

Stability Theorem

Linearizing at the discrete line soliton,

$$u_{m,n}(t) = (-1)^n e^{i(\mu^2 - 4\epsilon)t} \left[\psi_m + v_{m,n}(t)\right], \ v_{m,n}(t) = e^{\lambda t + ipn} \left(U_m + iW_m\right)$$

we obtain the linear stability problem

$$L_+(p)U = -\lambda W, \quad L_-(p)W = \lambda U,$$

where

$$(L_{+}U)_{m} = -\epsilon \left[U_{m+1} + U_{m-1} - 2\cos(p)U_{m} \right] + (\mu^{2} - 3\psi_{m}^{2})U_{m},$$

$$(L_{-}W)_{m} = -\epsilon \left[W_{m+1} + W_{m-1} - 2\cos(p)W_{m} \right] + (\mu^{2} - \psi_{m}^{2})W_{m}.$$

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト



Theorem

Consider the fundamental soliton bifurcating from the X point. There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ and $p \in [\pi, \pi]$, the linear-stability problem does not admit any unstable eigenvalues but admits a pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues $\pm i\omega(\epsilon, p)$ of negative Krein signature.

For any $p \in [-\pi, \pi]$ and small ϵ , this eigenvalue $\omega(\epsilon, p)$ has the following asymptotic expression,

$$\omega^2(\epsilon, p) = 8\epsilon \sin^2\left(\frac{p}{2}\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2) \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0.$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Background Line solitons Stability Analysis Summary	Minimum point Г Saddle point X 1D Stripe dNLS lattice
--	---

We have

$$L_{\pm}(p) = L_{\pm}(0) - 2\epsilon \left[1 - \cos(p)\right].$$

- L_−(0)ψ = 0 with ψ > 0. Hence L_−(0) ≥ 0 and 0 is at the bottom of L_−(0).
- By the perturbation theory, L_−(p) has exactly one negative eigenvalue for small ε > 0 and p ≠ 0.
- L₊(0) has exactly one negative eigenvalue and no zero eigenvalue for any € > 0.
- L₊(p) has exactly one negative and no zero eigenvalues for small ε > 0 and p ≠ 0.



Negative Index Theory:

$$egin{aligned} &N_{\mathrm{real}}^-+N_{\mathrm{imag}}^-+N_{\mathrm{comp}}=n(L_+(p))=1,\ &N_{\mathrm{real}}^++N_{\mathrm{imag}}^-+N_{\mathrm{comp}}=n(L_-(p))=1, \end{aligned}$$

At p = 0, a double zero eigenvalue exists, which splits for $p \neq 0$ outside the continuous spectrum. Hence,

$$N^-_{\rm imag}=1, \quad N^+_{\rm real}=N^-_{\rm real}=N_{\rm comp}=0,$$

・ロン ・四 と ・ 回 と ・ 回 と






Figure : Left: $\epsilon = 0.01$; middle: $\epsilon = 0.2$; right: $\epsilon = 4$.

・ロン ・回 と ・ ヨ と ・ ヨ と

э



Consider the 1D Stripe dNLS lattice:

$$i\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial t} + \epsilon(u_{m+1} + u_{m-1} - 2u_m) + \kappa \frac{\partial^2 u_m}{\partial y^2} + |u_m|^2 u_m = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is small and $\kappa = \pm 1$.

ヘロン 人間 とくほど くほとう



Consider the 1D Stripe dNLS lattice:

$$i\frac{\partial u_m}{\partial t} + \epsilon(u_{m+1} + u_{m-1} - 2u_m) + \kappa \frac{\partial^2 u_m}{\partial y^2} + |u_m|^2 u_m = 0, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is small and $\kappa = \pm 1$.

Linearizing at the discrete line soliton,

$$u_m(y,t) = e^{i\mu^2 t} [\psi_m + v_m(y,t)], \ v_m(y,t) = e^{\lambda t + ipy} (U_m + iW_m),$$

we obtain the linear stability problem

$$L_+(p)U = -\lambda W, \quad L_-(p)W = \lambda U,$$

where

$$(L_{+}(p)U)_{m} = -\epsilon(U_{m+1} + U_{m-1} - 2U_{m}) + (\mu^{2} + \kappa p^{2} - 3\psi_{m}^{2})U_{m},$$

$$(L_{-}(p)W)_{m} = -\epsilon(W_{m+1} + W_{m-1} - 2W_{m}) + (\mu^{2} + \kappa p^{2} - \psi_{m}^{2})W_{m}.$$

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



- At ε = 0, the linear system has two semi-simple eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity at λ = ±i(1 + κp²) and two simple eigenvalues at λ = ±√κp²(2 - κp²).
- We also have

$$L_{\pm}(p) = L_{\pm}(0) + \kappa p^2.$$



- At ε = 0, the linear system has two semi-simple eigenvalue of infinite multiplicity at λ = ±i(1 + κp²) and two simple eigenvalues at λ = ±√κp²(2 κp²).
- We also have

$$L_{\pm}(p) = L_{\pm}(0) + \kappa p^2.$$

- For κ = 1 and ε = 0, simple eigenvalues λ = ±p√(2 − p²) are real for p ∈ (0, √2) and purely imaginary eigenvalues for p > √2 bounded away from the continuum spectrum.
- For small $\epsilon > 0$, the negative index count gives

$$N_{\text{real}}^- = 1, \quad p \in (0, p_0(\epsilon))$$

and

$$n(L_+(p)) = n(L_-(p)) = 0, \quad p > p_0(\epsilon),$$

where $p_0(\epsilon) = \sqrt{2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon).$




Figure : Left: $\epsilon = 0.1$; middle: $\epsilon = 2$; right: $\epsilon = 4$.

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

Э



- For κ = −1 and ε = 0, simple eigenvalues λ = ±ip√2 + p² are in resonance with the essential spectrum λ = ±i(1 − p²) at p = p_c = ¹/₂.
- ► The simple eigenvalues have negative Krein signature and the essential spectrum has positive Krein signature for p ∈ (-1, 1). For small ε > 0, the resonance gives rise to complex instabilities with N_{comp} = 1 for p near p_c.
- Asymptotic theory gives

$$\begin{split} \lambda(\epsilon,p) &= \frac{3}{4}i + \frac{i\epsilon}{15}(14 + 17\delta) + \frac{2\epsilon}{15}\sqrt{15 - 4(1 - 2\delta)^2} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2), \\ \text{where } \delta &= (p^2 - p_c^2)/\epsilon. \end{split}$$

イロト イポト イラト イラト 一日




Figure : Left: $\epsilon = 0.1$; middle: $\epsilon = 2$; right: $\epsilon = 4$.

イロン 不同と 不同と 不同と

э

Summary

- Transverse stability problems are much easier than regular stability problems because symmetry-breaking perturbations remove kernels of the linearized operators.
- Applications of the negative index theory are developed in regular l² spaces, there is no necessity of constrained spaces.
- Lattice problems have additional simplifications near the anti-continuum limit, where asymptotic methods can be used in conjugation with the negative stability theory.
- Discretization may induce transverse stability of continuously unstable solitons. The role of discretization may be taken by the periodic potentials in the continuous NLS equations.